

Appendix H GEOTECHNICAL REPORT



### Seaspan Outfitting Pier – Preliminary Geotechnical Design Report

Seaspan Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

October 16, 2020

Prepared for:

Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards 50 Pemberton Avenue North Vancouver, BC V7P 2R2

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Ltd. 500 – 4730 Kingsway Burnaby, BC V5H 0C6

Revision	Description	Author	Quality Check	(	Independent	Review
А	Draft	VT, SM	VT		ND	
0	Final	VT, SM	VT		ND	

## **Table of Contents**

<b>1.0</b> 1.1	INTRODUCTION SCOPE OF WORK	. <b>1</b> .1
<b>2.0</b> 2.1 2.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION REFERENCE DOCUMENTS DESIGN CODES AND STANDARDS	. <b>1</b> .2 .3
<b>3.0</b> 3.1 3.2	GEOTECHNICAL MARINE EXPLORATION PREVIOUS GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION WORK RECENT GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION WORK	.3 .3 .3
<b>4.0</b> 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	LABORATORY TESTING	.6 .7 .7 .7
<b>5.0</b> 5.1 5.2 5.3	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS SURFICIAL GEOLOGY SOIL CONDITIONS TIDE CONDITIONS	.7 .8 .9
<b>6.0</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3	ENGINEERING ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	.9  0  1  1  1  2
6.4 6.5 6.6	LIQUEFACTION ASSESSMENT	3
	6.6.1Newmark Sliding Block Method16.6.2Youd's Method16.6.3Design Soil Displacement Profiles1	15 15 16 17
6.7 6.8 6.9	6.6.1       Newmark Sliding Block Method       1         6.6.2       Youd's Method       1         6.6.3       Design Soil Displacement Profiles       1         POST-SEISMIC SOIL SETTLEMENT       1         PILE AXIAL CAPACITY       1         SEISMIC NUMERICAL MODELING       1         6.9.1       Seismic model       1         6.9.2       Modeling results       1	5  6  7  7  8  8



8.0	CLOSURE	20
9.0	REFERENCES	21
FIGUR	ES	23

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Summary of CPTu/SCPTu Soundings	5
Table 2	Summary of Boreholes	6
Table 3	Summary of Geotechnical Laboratory Testing	6
Table 4	Seismic Design Parameters For 5% Damping at Site Class C per NBCC (2015)	10
Table 5:	Design Soil Strength Parameters of Soils – Static Condition	14
Table 6:	Results of Slope Stability Analyses	15
Table 7:	Post-Seismic Lateral Ground Displacements using Newmark method	16

### LIST OF APPENDICES

 $\bigcirc$ 

APPENDIX A	STATEMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS	<b>A</b> .1
APPENDIX B	BOREHOLE RECORDS	B.1
APPENDIX C	CONE PENETRATION TESTING PLOTS	C.1
APPENDIX D	LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS	D.1

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) has been retained by Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards (Seaspan) to provide design and engineering services for the proposed Vancouver Shipyards Outfitting Pier (hereafter "the Project") at the Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards in North Vancouver, British Columbia. This report presents the results of the geotechnical marine exploration, preliminary geotechnical engineering analyses and design recommendations for the Project.

The work was completed in general accordance with the geotechnical scope of work in our proposal "Vancouver Shipyards Outfitting Pier – Feasibility Study and Project Permitting", dated March 10, 2020. This report should be read in conjunction with the Statement of General Conditions, which are included In **Appendix A**.

## 1.1 SCOPE OF WORK

In brief, the scope of work for the geotechnical assessment, as outlined in the above-referenced proposals, includes the following:

- Review of project drawings and available geotechnical and geological information for the Project site and the surrounding area;
- Geotechnical marine exploration and laboratory testing;
- Geotechnical engineering analyses including:
  - Liquefaction and seismic-induced ground lateral displacements;
  - Slope stability for dredged slopes and rip rap shoreline;
  - Seismic-induced settlement;
  - Bearing capacity of pile foundations;
- Preparation of this report to provide recommendations to support detailed design and construction of the Project, including pile foundation design, seismic performance requirements of the pier, as well as ground improvement requirements.

# 2.0 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Seaspan is considering the construction of a new Outfitting Pier at their Vancouver Shipyards facility located in North Vancouver, BC (hereafter "the Site"). The new pier will be a major investment in the Canadian shipbuilding industry by making local shipbuilding operations more efficient and improving upon delivery dates of new builds which have been awarded as part of the National Shipbuilding Strategy.

It is our understanding that the proposed Outfitting Pier will be located along the same north-south alignment of the existing Outfitting Pier. The north end of the proposed (and existing) pier will be located approximately 90 m west of the existing Load Out Pier. The dimensions of the proposed pier are

#### SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER - PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT

approximately 300 m in length (north-south direction) by 20 m in width (east-west direction). The design top of deck elevation of the pier is El. 7.0 m CD.

The proposed Outfitting Pier will be supported on vertical steel pipe piles near the extend western and eastern edges of the pier. The center of the pier will be supported on raking steel pipe piles.

We understand that the water lot around the proposed Outfitting Pier would be dredged to accommodate large vessels on both sides of the new pier. The dredging would be completed in two phases.

- Phase 1: An area approximately 210 m long (north-south direction) by 34.8 m wide (east-west direction) west of the pier will be dredged to El. -9.0 m CD. An area approximately 290 m long by 25 m to 35 m wide east of the pier will be dredged to El. 9.0 m CD.
- Phase 2: Within the water lot east of the pier, an area of approximately 170 m long by 35 m wide at the southern half of the pier will be further dredged to a final design elevation of El. -11.6 m CD.

The dredged areas will have perimeter cut-slopes graded at approximately 3H:1V (horizontal to vertical) with any slope protection or at 2H:1V with rock armor.

An approximately 100 m long by 55 m wide area immediately south of the Load Out Pier and east of the proposed Outfitting Pier will be filled with gravel up to a design elevation of El. -1.0 m CD. The gravel bed will have a perimeter fill-slope graded at 2H:1V.

The layout of the proposed Outfitting Pier is shown in Figure 1.

## 2.1 **REFERENCE DOCUMENTS**

The following list of documents were referenced in the preparation of this report.

- "Basis of Design Outfitting Pier", dated June 2020, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Marine Geotechnical Factual Report Vancouver Shipyard Facility, Modernization Project Load Out", dated January 25, 2013, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Geotechnical Design Report Vancouver Shipyard Facility, Modernization Project", dated July 12, 2012, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Geotechnical Factual Report Vancouver Shipyard Facility, Modernization Project", dated July 12, 2012, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Geotechnical Investigation for JSS Facilities Seaspan International Ltd., Vancouver Shipyards, North Vancouver, BC", dated December 2007, prepared by MEG Consulting Limited.
- "Site General Arrangement", Drawing No. 115619249-101, Revision A, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "General Arrangement Section", Drawing No. 115619249-102, dated April 15, 2020, Revision A, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Phase 1 Dredge Plan", Drawing No. 115619249-103, Revision A, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Phase 2 Dredge Plan", Drawing No. 115619249-104, Revision A, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Pier Plan", Drawing No. 115619249-301, Revision A, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.

• "Pier Sections", Drawing No. 115619249-302, Revision A, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.

## 2.2 DESIGN CODES AND STANDARDS

The geotechnical engineering analyses and design recommendations provided in this report are in accordance with the following design Codes and Standards:

- British Columbia Building Code (BCBC, 2018)
- National Building Code of Canada (NBCC, 2015)
- Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CAN/CSA-S6-14, 2014)

# 3.0 GEOTECHNICAL MARINE EXPLORATION

## 3.1 PREVIOUS GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION WORK

Previous subsurface investigations were carried out at the Vancouver Shipyard by Stantec and others. Pertinent subsurface geotechnical data in the general vicinity of the current study area were reviewed for the purpose of providing additional information related to the subsurface conditions. The relevant test holes used in this report from the previous investigations are shown on the cross-section in Figure 2. Subsurface information from previous investigations was provided in the following reports:

- "Marine Geotechnical Factual Report Vancouver Shipyard Facility, Modernization Project Load Out", dated January 25, 2013, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Geotechnical Design Report Vancouver Shipyard Facility, Modernization Project", dated July 12, 2012, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Geotechnical Factual Report Vancouver Shipyard Facility, Modernization Project", dated July 12, 2012, prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- "Geotechnical Investigation for JSS Facilities Seaspan International Ltd., Vancouver Shipyards, North Vancouver, BC", dated December 2007, prepared by MEG Consulting Limited.

## 3.2 RECENT GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION WORK

The geotechnical marine exploration was carried out between May 5 and 9, 2020. Marine equipment, including barge, tugboat, crew boat, drill rig and drilling supplies were mobilized to Site on May 4 and demobilized off site on May 9 upon the completion of the final test hole. The as-completed scope of the supplementary geotechnical exploration consists of the following:

- Two (2) Cone Penetration Test (CPTu) soundings (CPT20-02 and CPT20-04),
- Three (3) Seismic Cone Penetration Test (SCPTu) soundings (SCPT20-01, SCPT20-03, and SCPT2-05),
- Three (3) mud-rotary boreholes (BH20-01 to BH20-03).

#### SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER - PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT

The target depth for the CPTu and SCPTu soundings was 50 m below the existing mudline or until practical equipment refusal. The target depth for boreholes BH20-01 and BH20-02 was upon reaching the glacial till layer (approximately 50 to 60 m depth). The target depth for borehole BH20-03 was 10 m.

A 10 m deep mud-rotary borehole (BH20-04) was originally scoped at the Northeast Infill Area, an area approximately 40 m southeast of the Load Out Pier, for a separate scope. Upon discussion with Seaspan, a SCPTu sounding (SCPT20-05) was completed to a depth of practical equipment refusal in lieu of a borehole. Test hole locations are shown on Figure 1.

All test holes were completed with a track-mounted Fraste mud-rotary drill rig operated by ConeTec Investigations Ltd. and Mud Bay Drilling Co. Ltd. (ConeTec | Mud Bay) mounted on a spud barge operated by Saltair Marine Services Ltd. (Saltair), both subcontracted by Stantec.

Test hole coordinates and elevations were recorded by ConeTec | Mud Bay using a Real Time Kinetic (RTK) Survey device with an accuracy of approximately +/- 0.05 m horizontal and +/- 0.05 m vertical. Coordinates were measured using the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM, Zone N) NAD83 coordinate system. Elevations were measured as meters Geodetic Datum (GD) in the field and subsequently converted to Chart Datum (CD) for data processing, analyses, and reporting. Chart Datum is also referred to as Lowest Low Water Level (LLWL) which is 3.045 m lower than Geodetic Datum at the project site as noted within the Basis of Design (Stantec, 2020).

Stantec geotechnical field engineers observed the marine exploration, coordinated with ConeTec | Mud Bay, provided ConeTec | Mud Bay with guidance on ASTM and industry standards and procedures, classified the soils encountered, prepared borehole records, and obtained soil samples for laboratory testing. SPT split-spoon samples were returned to the Stantec laboratory in Burnaby, BC for further classification and index testing.

### 3.2.1 Cone Penetration Testing

The CPTu soundings with pore water pressure measurement involved hydraulically pushing a stainlesssteel piezocone with a cross sectional area of 15 cm<sup>2</sup> into the ground at approximately 20 mm/s. 38.1 mm outer diameter (OD). CPTu rods were added every meter as the piezocone was advanced. The piezocone was equipped with load cells for measurement of tip resistance and sleeve friction, a pressure transducer for measurement of pore water pressure, and inclinometers for measurements of inclination. Measurements of tip resistance, sleeve friction, inclination, and pore water pressure induced above the piezocone tip (i.e., at the u2 position) were recorded by an on-board computer at 25 mm intervals along the depths of all test holes. Porewater pressure dissipation tests were completed at selected depths at the discretion of the Stantec field engineer. The CPTu soundings were carried out in general accordance with ASTM D5778.

The SCPTu soundings collected shear wave velocity data in addition to the CPT data. These were advanced in the same manner as the CPTu soundings, with additional recordings every meter of the time interval of shear waves travelling between a wave source and the geophones in the piezocone. The wave source, Water-Seis, was lowered to the mudline on one side of the barge for the duration of each SCPTu sounding. Water-Seis is a sealed, electrically powered source that uses an internal hammer that strikes an anvil to generate seismic waves.

CPTu and SCPTu results are presented in **Appendix C** and include plots of tip resistance  $(q_t)$ , sleeve friction  $(f_s)$ , friction ratio  $(R_f)$ , pore water pressure (u), soil behavior type (based on the method by Robertson, 2009 and 2010), dissipation test plots, and SCPTu shear wave velocity data. **Table 1** summarizes the CPTu and SCPTu soundings.

	UTM Coordinates		Mudline Elevation	Termination Elevation	Termination Depth (m below
Test Hole ID	Northing	Easting	(m CD)	(m CD)	mudline)
SCPT20-01	5,462,013.4	492,343.4	-4.57	-32.17	27.6
CPT20-02	5,462,126.5	492,346.6	-5.32	-37.72	32.4
SCPT20-03	5,462,267.4	492,349.3	-7.06	-43.46	36.4
CPT20-04	5,462,326.5	492,346.6	-5.91	-42.51	36.6*
SCPT20-05	5,462,322.4	492,457.3	-3.06	-37.26*	34.2
NOTES: * CPT20-04 was drilled out from 31.5 m to 32.3 m depth below existing mudline (EI37.4 m to -38.2 m CD)					

#### Table 1 Summary of CPTu/SCPTu Soundings

Practical CPTu/SCPTu refusal was identified by excessive rod deflection and/or excessive lifting of the drill rig generally combined with high (>20 MPa) tip resistance (qt) and drill-rig feed pressure at the discretion of the ConeTec CPTu technician.

When practical CPTu/SCPTu refusal was incurred at SCPT20-01, CPT20-02, SCPT20-03, and SCPT20-05 at the above-referenced depths, a decision was made by the Stantec design team to terminate the soundings. At CPT20-04, when practical CPTu refusal was incurred at 31.5 m depth below the existing mudline, a decision was made to conduct a drill-out in hopes of continue to advance the CPTu below the drill-out zone. At the initial refusal, the piezocone was retracted to surface, and the test hole was drilled-out beyond the termination depth of the CPTu to 32.3 m depth, at which point the Mud Bay driller noticed a decrease in drilling resistance. The piezocone was then lowered back down inside of casing to the bottom of the drill-out, and the CPTu sounding was continued.

## 3.2.2 Borehole Drilling, Sampling, and Testing

The boreholes were advanced by ConeTec | Mud Bay using the same Fraste track-mounted drill rig used to conduct the CPTu/SCPTu soundings and drill-outs. Borehole records describing the soil conditions encountered and the results of laboratory classification and index testing are included in **Appendix B**. Soil descriptions presented on the borehole records are based on the samples collected from SPT split-spoon, and are in general accordance with ASTM D2487 and D2488 for the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and the information presented on the "Symbols and Terms Used in Borehole and Test Pit Records" in **Appendix B**. A summary of the borehole locations is provided in **Table 2**.

	UTM Coordinates		Mudline Flevation	Termination Flevation	Termination Depth (m below
Test Hole ID	Northing	Easting	(m CD)	(m CD)	mudline)
BH20-01	5,462,061.8	492,349.5	-5.3	-67.3	62.0
BH20-02	5,462,192.1	492,349.0	-6.9	-63.6	56.7
BH20-03	5,462,324.8	492,366.1	-6.3	-17.6	11.3

#### Table 2Summary of Boreholes

#### Standard Penetration Testing

SPTs were completed in general accordance with ASTM D1586. SPTs were performed using a 51 mm outer diameter, un-lined split-spoon sampler driven with an automatic 63.5 kg safety hammer, falling from a height of 760 mm. Blow counts were recorded over four consecutive 150 mm intervals of penetration. The SPT blow counts (i.e., blows per 0.3 m of penetration, or blows per actual penetration if less than 0.3 m) are reported on the borehole records in **Appendix B**.

Overburden soil samples were obtained via Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) using a split-spoon sampler. In general, samples were obtained at 1.0 m intervals to a depth of 3.0 m, and at 1.5 m intervals from 3.0 m to 10 m depth. The samples obtained in the upper 6.0 m of mudline were for the environmental scope of the project. Beyond 10 m depth, samples were obtained at 3.0 m intervals.

# 4.0 LABORATORY TESTING

## 4.1 SUMMARY

Geotechnical index laboratory testing was conducted on samples collected in the three boreholes, with the exception of the environmental soil samples, at the Stantec laboratory in Burnaby, BC. A summary of the geotechnical laboratory testing is presented in **Table 3**.

Table 3 Summary of Geotechnical Laboratory T
--

Laboratory Test Description	ASTM	Number of Tests Completed
Moisture Content	ASTM D2216	11
Atterberg Limits	ASTM D4318	2
Partiala Siza Distribution	ASTM D6913 (Sieve)	7
	ASTM D7928 (Hydrometer)	,
Fines Content Measurement	ASTM D1140	17

## 4.2 MOISTURE CONTENT

Moisture Content (w) of soil is defined as the ratio of the mass of water contained in the pore spaces of the soil to the mass of solids in the soil, expressed as a percentage. Measurement of moisture content was performed in general accordance with ASTM D2216. Moisture content measurements are presented on the borehole records in **Appendix B**.

## 4.3 ATTERBERG LIMITS

Atterberg Limits describe the consistency and plasticity of fine-grained soils with varying degrees of moisture. Atterberg limits tests are used to determine the moisture contents at which soil behavior becomes liquid or brittle. The Liquid Limit (LL) represents the moisture content at which the soil begins to flow like a liquid, and the Plastic Limit (PL) represents the moisture content at which it ceases to be plastic and becomes brittle. Subtracting the plastic limit from the liquid limit yields the Plasticity Index (PI).

The Atterberg limits were measured using the multi-point method (Method A) as described in ASTM D4318. Atterberg limits test results are presented on the borehole records in **Appendix B** and in **Appendix D**.

## 4.4 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (AND FINES CONTENT)

Tests were performed to obtain particle size distributions for selected soil samples. The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM D1140, ASTM D6913 and ASTM D7928. A summary of particle size distribution and fines content test results are presented on the borehole records in **Appendix B**. Particle size distribution test results are presented in **Appendix D**.

Laboratory test results for the soil samples obtained for environmental purposes are presented in a separate report.

# 5.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

## 5.1 SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

Based on our past projects at the Shipyards facility near the proposed Outfitting Pier and our knowledge of the regional marine surficial geology, marine soils in the vicinity of the pier are anticipated to be thick deposits of sand and silt, with interbedded silty sand and sandy silt, extended down to depth in the order of 50 m to 60 m below the existing mudline, underlain by glacial till deposits which overlay sandstone and siltstone bedrock formations.

## 5.2 SOIL CONDITIONS

The subsurface conditions from the current geotechnical exploration typically consist of a thick deposit of poorly graded sand and silty sand underlain by 10 m to 20 m thick of silty soil, which in turn is underlain by a deposit of gravelly soil. The gravelly soil is underlain by till deposits observed at boreholes BH20-01 and BH20-02 at approximately 58 m to 62 m depth below the existing ground surface.

Further discussion of the subsurface soil layers is provided in the following section. The soil stratigraphy along the pier is presented in Figure 2.

### 5.2.1 Poorly graded Sand

Poorly graded sand deposit with varying amounts of silt was encountered below the existing mudline in BH20-01 and BH20-02 and below a shallow silt layer in BH20-03. This deposit extends to depths ranging from 7.6 m to 18.6 m, below the existing mudline (i.e., El. -12.9 m CD, and El. -25.5 m CD), respectively.

In borehole BH20-03 at the north side of the out-fitting pier, approximately 4.6 m thick silt is presented below the existing mudline. The silt is very loose based on SPT N values. The poorly graded sand layer was encountered below silt layer at El. -10.9 m CD. and extended beyond the termination depth of the borehole at El. -17.6 m CD.

SPT N values within this layer generally ranged from 1 to 25, indicating this soil layer is very loose to compact.

### 5.2.2 Silty Sand

The poorly graded sand layer is underlain by a deposit of silty sand in BH20-01 and BH20-02. The silty sand layer is approximately 29.0 m and 17.9 m thick extending to El. -41.9 m CD and El. -43.4 m CD in BH20-01 and BH20-02, respectively. Some amounts of gravel were encountered at 9.1 m, between 24.7 and 24.8 m and 27.2 m depths below the existing ground surface in borehole BH20-01.

SPT N values in this deposit generally ranged from 5 to 26, indicating this soil layer is loose to compact. However, some higher SPT N values were recorded that likely reflect the presence of gravel, rather than the general compactness of this deposit.

### 5.2.3 Silt

Low-plastic silt deposit with varying amounts of sand with thickness of approximately 17.4 m and 15.0 m underlies the silty sand deposit and extends to El. -59.3 m and El. -58.4 m (CD), in BH20-01 and BH20-02, respectively.

SPT N values generally ranged from 7 to greater than 50 blows indicating that silt loose at the top, transitioning to dense and very dense, below 45.4 m and 42.5 m depth below the existing ground surface (i.e., El. -50.7 m CD, and El. -49.4 m CD) in BH20-01 and BH20-02, respectively. However, the SPT tests could have been affected by the presence of gravels and cobbles, which could have resulted in higher

#### SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER - PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT

resistance to penetration and artificially higher N values. One SPT N value of 1 blow was recorded at El. - 41.9 m CD, in borehole BH20-01 represented the very loose compactness of silt layer at this depth.

Occasional boulders were encountered within the silt layer in borehole BH20-01 at approximate depths of 51.2 m to 52.4 m below the existing ground surface.

The bottom 5.9 m of the silt deposit consists of silty sand and sand at borehole BH20-02. A measured water contents of one sample of this material is 22%. Two 'N' values of greater than 55 blows and 36 blows were measured in this layer, indicating a dense to very dense compactness. One higher 'N' value could reflect the presence of gravel, rather than the general compactness of the soil matrix.

Measured moisture contents of the five samples of silt ranged from 18% to 24%.

#### 5.2.4 Silty Gravel with Sand

A silty gravel with sand deposit is encountered beneath the low-plastic silt deposit with the thickness of 4.8 m and 3.2 m extends to El. -64.1 m CD and El.-61.6 m CD, BH20-01 and BH20-02, respectively. Based on in-situ blow counts, this soil is generally very dense. This gravely soil can be a transition to the Till-like soils.

#### 5.2.5 Till-like Soils

Till-like soils consisting of silty gravel with sand, clay with gravel, and silty sand/sandy silt with gravel are presented in BH20-01 and BH20-02. Measured moisture contents of the four samples collected within the Till deposit ranged from 11% to 26%. The results of Atterberg Limits testing performed on one sample of till were liquid and plastic limits of 30% and 18%, respectively (i.e., plasticity index of 12%). Based on insitu blow counts, the till is generally very dense.

### 5.3 TIDE CONDITIONS

The tide levels measured from local Hydrographic Tide and Chart Datum. The tides and water levels have been taken from CHS Nautical Chart 3494 for Vancouver. These tide elevations are as follows:

- Higher High Water Level (Large Tide) HHWLT at El. +5.0 m CD
- Higher High Water Level (Mean Tide) HHWMT at El. +4.4 m CD
- Mean Sea Level (MSL) at El. +3.1 m CD
- Lower Low Water Level (Mean Tide) LLWMT at El. +1.1 m CD
- Lower Low Water Level (Large Tide) LLWLT at El. 0.0 m CD

## 6.0 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Outfitting Pier will meet two-level seismic criteria that include the following earthquake events:

- Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) defined as the seismic event that produces ground motions associated with the 224-year return period. During the OBE event, the marine facilities should remain elastic, experience minimal damage, and be operable afterward.
- Life Safety Earthquake (LSE) defined as a rare and extreme seismic event that produces ground motions associated with the 2,475-year return period. During the LSE event, the outfitting pier may be damaged, but the level of damage shall preclude the collapse of the pier.

## 6.1 SEISMIC HAZARD

Seismic hazard to the site consists of ground motions resulting from the following three types of earthquakes as defined in NBCC (2015):

- Shallow Crustal earthquakes that occur in the Continental Plate, magnitudes up to M7.5 and distance of 10 km to 20 km,
- Deep Inslab earthquakes that occur in the subducting Juan de Fuca Plate, magnitudes up to M7.5 and distance of 50 km to 70 km.
- Interface subduction earthquakes that occur at the interface of the Continental and the Juan de Fuca Plates, magnitudes of M7.5 to M9.0 and distance of more than 120 km from southwestern British Columbia.

The seismic hazard parameters for the site were obtained from the Natural Resources Canada (NRC) website maintained by the Geological Survey of Canada. The parameters are in the form of 5% damped horizontal spectral response acceleration,  $S_a(T)$ , and T is the period in seconds. The  $S_a(T)$  values are determined for very dense soil or soft bedrock, taken as the reference ground condition corresponding to Site Class C. The  $S_a(T)$  values would have to be adjusted to account for local site conditions and to obtain design spectral values S(T).

The NRC website provides the seismic hazard parameters for 100, 475 and 2475-years return periods. The seismic hazard parameters for the 224-year return period were obtained using the log-log interpolation method using the values of the 100 and 475-year return periods as recommended by the NRC.

The seismic hazard parameters for the 224 and 2475-year return periods at Site Class C conditions per NBCC (2015) are summarized in **Table 4**.

Period, T (s)	Sa(T) (g)		
	224-Year Return Period	2475-Year Return Period	
PGA	0.121	0.355	
0.05	0.145	0.435	
0.1	0.222	0.662	
0.2	0.281	0.819	

#### Table 4 Seismic Design Parameters For 5% Damping at Site Class C per NBCC (2015)

Period, T (s)	Sa(T) (g)	
	224-Year Return Period	2475-Year Return Period
0.3	0.283	0.821
0.5	0.239	0.726
1.0	0.125	0.411
2.0	0.07	0.25
5.0	0.016	0.079

## 6.2 SEISMIC SITE CLASSIFICATION

Liquefaction assessment discussed later in Section 6.4 indicates the presence of the liquefiable soil at the site under the 224 and 2475-year return periods. Therefore, the site is defined as Site Class F and site-specific seismic ground response analysis would be performed to develop design response spectrum per NBCC (2015).

## 6.3 SITE-SPECIFIC SEISMIC GROUND RESPONSE ANALYSIS

Site-specific seismic ground response analysis was carried out as per NBCC (2015) for the following purposes:

- To evaluate the response of the subsurface soils under the design earthquake motions
- To develop site-specific design response spectrum for structural analyses
- To develop parameters for liquefaction assessment of the subsurface soils.

The analysis was performed using the one-dimensional, equivalent-linear method using the computer program SHAKE2000 (Geomotion LLC). Description of input soil parameters, input acceleration records and the results are given in the following sections.

### 6.3.1 Input Soil Parameters

The soil parameters for the seismic analysis were derived using the site-specific test holes. The required soil parameters for the analysis are:

- Shear wave velocity (Vs).
- Depth of the 1-D soil column and depth to the Site C firm ground.
- Unit weight.
- Variation of shear modulus and damping with shear strain.

The design shear wave velocity profile was developed based on the velocity measurement at SCPT20-01, SCPT20-03, and SCPT20-05 and estimated shear wave velocity from corrected SPT blowcount  $(N_1)_{60}$  at BH20-01 and BH20-02. The shear wave velocity estimated from  $(N_1)_{60}$  was based on the correlation proposed by Seed and Idriss (1970) and calibrated to the velocity measurement at the SCPTs.

The measured shear wave velocity at the existing ground surface was corrected for the final vertical effective stress at the proposed dredge level using the following equation (Idriss and Boulanger, 2008):

$$V_{s(corrected)} = V_{s(measured)} \left(\frac{\sigma'_{v(final)}}{\sigma'_{v(existing)}}\right)^{0.25}$$

Where  $\sigma'_{v(\text{final})}$  is the vertical effective stress at the proposed dredge line and  $\sigma'_{v(\text{existing})}$  is the vertical effective stress at the existing ground surface.

The depth to the Till deposits (Site Class C condition) was taken at 50 m below the proposed dredge level for the seismic ground response analysis. The soil unit weights were estimated based on soil type and compactness. Shear modulus reduction and damping data for the analysis were taken from published data on similar soils. The Seed and Idriss (1970) upper bound modulus reduction and lower bound damping curves were used for soils above the Till deposits. The Profiles of the shear wave velocity, soil unit weight and the soil model used in the analysis are shown in Figure 3.

#### 6.3.2 Input Earthquake Records

The analysis was carried out using a suite of input earthquake records, representative for Site Class C conditions for the 224 and 2,475-year return periods in accordance with NBCC (2015). The records were developed for the George Massey Crossing (GMC) project. Stantec obtained the records from the British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) and modified them for use at this site. The original records are for Site Class C soil conditions and include 10 crustal, 10 inslab and 10 interface records for each of the 475 and 2,475-year return periods.

The design earthquake records for this site for the 2,475-year return period were obtained by uniformly scaling the GMC records for the same return period using the ratio of PGA at this site, 0.355 g, to the PGA at GMC, 0.393 g.

The design earthquake records for this site for the 224-year return period were obtained by uniformly scaling the GMC records for the 475-year return period using the ratio of PGA at this site, 0.121 g, for 224-year return periods, to the PGA at GMC, 0.206 g, for 475-year return period.

The response spectra of the uniformly scaled records for the 2,475 and 224-year return periods are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The NBCC (2015) Site Class C response spectrum at the are also shown in those figures for comparison.

### 6.3.3 Results of the Seismic Ground Response Analysis

As mentioned in the previous section a total of 30 records were developed for each of the 224 and 2,475year return periods. The seismic ground response analysis was carried out using all 30 scaled records for the 224 and 2,475-year return periods. The results were then assembled separately for each of the three seismic input sources, i.e. crustal, inslab, and interface, and the average of each of the three sets of response was then calculated for each probable earthquake event.

The results of the seismic ground response analyses are shown in Figures 6 to 9 for the 2,475-year return period and in Figures 10 to 13 for the 224-year return period. The figures include Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR), PGA, and maximum shear stress ( $\tau_{max}$ ) profiles and their average profiles. The acceleration response spectra of the crustal, inslab and interface records and their average profiles, taken at the dredged ground surface are shown in Figures 14 and 15 for the 2,475 and 224-year return periods, respectively. The envelope of the three average responses is shown in Figure 16.

## 6.4 LIQUEFACTION ASSESSMENT

Liquefaction assessment of the subsurface soils was carried out using the simplified method presented in Boulanger and Idriss (2014) together with the "mean magnitude method" suggested by Finn et al. (2016). The liquefaction simplified method involves a comparison of the cyclic shear stress in the ground (Cyclic Stress Ratio, CSR) induced by the earthquake loading (i.e., demand) to the soil shear resistance (Cyclic Resistance Ratio, CRR). The CRR was estimated using the CPT and SPT approaches proposed by Boulanger and Idriss (2014). The CSR was obtained from the site-specific seismic ground response analysis as the average profile of the CSR of all 30 earthquake records.

The mean earthquake magnitudes of 7.0 and 6.86 under the 2,475 and 224-year return period, respectively were used in the analysis based on the deaggregation data provided by the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), which considers the contributions of the crustal, inslab, and interface earthquakes.

The factor of safety (FS) against liquefaction was derived as the ratio of CRR to CSR and the results are shown in Figures 17 to 32. Under the 2475-year return period, liquefaction is estimated to occur from the dredged ground surface to El. -37 m CD. Under the 224-year return period, liquefaction is estimated to occur from the dredged ground surface to El. -20 m CD.

## 6.5 SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS

Slope stability analysis was carried out using the limit equilibrium Morgenstern–Price method within the computer program Slope/W (GeoStudio, 2018).

The slope stability was analyzed for a cross section along the pier in the north-south direction. The ground surface is generally at approximately EI. 6.7 m CD at the yard, and then sloped down toward the marine to a dredged level of EI. -9 m. A marine slope is observed about 140 m south from the end of the proposed pier. Available bathymetric data does not cover the marine slope beyond Station 0 in the slope stability model. It was assumed in the slope stability analysis that the ground slope extends further to EI. -35 m CD.

Preliminary slope stability analyses were carried out for two design water levels including Higher High Water Level (HHWLT) at El. +5.0 m CD and Lower Low Water Level (LLWLT) at El. -0.2 m CD, which indicate that the LLWLT provided the lowest Factor of Safety and was the governing case from a slope stability perspective. Therefore, subsequent slope stability analyses were performed using the LLWLT.

Unit weights of 19 kN/m<sup>3</sup> and 21 kN/m<sup>3</sup> were used in the analysis for the existing soils and granular fill soils above EI. 5 m CD, respectively.

A horizontal seismic coefficient (k<sub>h</sub>) equal to 50% of the average PGA of all the Crustal, Inslab, and Interface earthquakes at the ground surface was used in pseudo-static analysis as follow:

- $k_h = 0.058$  g under the 224-year return period.
- $k_h = 0.111$  g under the 2,475-year return period.

The shear strength profile of the soils under the static condition was estimated from the borehole and CPT data using correlations proposed by Hatanaka and Uchida (1996) for SPT-approach and Robertson (2015) for CPT-approach. The design soil strength parameters are shown in Figure 33 and **Table 5**.

El. (m CD)		Effective friction angle oh' (°)
From	То	
6.7	5	35
5	-31	35
-31	-37	32
-37	-42	38
-42	-47	29
-47	-66	40

Table 5: Design Soil Strength Parameters of Soils – Static Condition

The residual shear strength of liquefied soils was calculated using the empirical equation presented in Idriss and Boulanger (2014) and shown in Figure 34. A residual shear strength ratio  $S_{ur}/\sigma'_v$  of 0.1 was estimated based on the average profile of the CPT and SPT data points to represent the residual shear strength of the liquefied soil under the post-seismic condition.

The results of the stability analyses are summarized in **Table 6** and shown in Figures 35 to 49.

		FoS under different loading condition						Vield acceleration	
			224-year return period		2,475-year return period		FoS=1		
Cross Section	Slope location	Static	Pseudo- Static	Post- liquefaction	Pseudo- Static	Post- liquefaction	224- year return period	2,475- year return period	
Along the pier	Overall Slope (entire pier)	12.4	3.85	2.16	2.33	1.92	0.035	0.03	
	Marine Slope (south of pier)	4.04	2.32	3.83	1.65	2.90	0.115	0.170	
	Nearshore Slope (north of pier)	4.64	2.80	0.69	2.03	0.69	N/A	N/A	

#### Table 6:Results of Slope Stability Analyses

Minimum FoS values of 1.5, 1.0 and 1.0 are considered adequate for static, pseudo static, and post-seismic conditions respectively in accordance with standard engineering practice. The analysis indicated that the FoS for the static and pseudo-static conditions are larger than 1.6 for both the 224 and 2,475-year return period for all slopes. Under the post-liquefaction condition, the estimated FoS are larger than 1.9 for the stability of the overall and marine slopes; however, a FoS less than 1 was estimated for the nearshore slope.

Yield acceleration (k<sub>y</sub>) was obtained from the slope stability analysis to estimate the seismic-induced soil displacement using the Newmark sliding block method (1965). The yield acceleration is the horizontal seismic coefficient applied to the post-earthquake slope stability model that yields FoS of approximately 1.0. The yield acceleration was estimated for all slopes were the FoS of slope stability under the post-earthquake condition is greater than 1. The residual shear strength was assigned for liquefied soils as proposed by Olson and Johnson (2008). The estimated yield accelerations are presented in Figures 50 to 53 and summarized in Table 6. The results of the Newmark ground displacement analysis are presented in the following section.

## 6.6 POST-SEISMIC LATERAL SOIL DISPLACEMENT

Post-seismic lateral displacement of the ground surface along the pier was estimated using the Newmark sliding block method (1965) and the empirical method proposed by Youd et al. (2002). Seismic numerical modelling was also analyzed to provide further detail on the seismic-induced soil displacement, which is presented in section 6.9.

### 6.6.1 Newmark Sliding Block Method

The post-seismic soil displacement was evaluated using the computer software SLAMMER (2014) developed by U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). A regression rigid block Newmark method was used to estimate the post-seismic horizontal ground displacement based on the specified ground motion at the ground surface and the yield acceleration. The Newmark analysis considered a total of 30 earthquake records at the proposed dredge level. The earthquake records were obtained from the site-specific seismic

ground response analysis presented in section 6.3. The yield accelerations of 0.035g and 0.03g under the 224 and 2,475- year return periods, respectively, were used to calculate the soil displacement.

The average displacements under the 224 and 2,475-year return period are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7: Post-Seismic Lateral Ground Displacements using Newmark method

	Seismic slope displacement (m)			
Earthquake record	224-year return period	2,475-year return period		
Average - Crustal (10 records)	0.15	1.56		
Average - Inslab (10 records)	0.13	1.39		
Average - Interface (10 records)	0.01	1.79		
Average - All 30 motions	0.09 (use 0.15 m on the safe side)	1.58		

### 6.6.2 Youd's Method

The empirical method proposed by Youd et al. (2002) considers the earthquake magnitude, the seismic source distance, the thickness of the liquefiable layers, and the ground slope.

The input parameters used to estimate the lateral soil displacement are shown below:

- Earthquake magnitudes of 7 and 6.86 corresponding to the 2,475 and 224-year return periods based on the deaggregation data provided by the NRC.
- PGA of 0.222 g and 0.115 g corresponding to the 2,475 and 224-year return periods. The PGA values are based on the results of the site-specific seismic ground response analysis as the average of all the surface PGA of all earthquake records.
- Equivalent Distance to the seismic energy source, R, (as a Function of M<sub>w</sub> and PGA) of 43 km and 26 km corresponding to the 2,475 and 224-year return periods.
- Ground slope of 13% taken as the slope of the marine slope to be on the safe side.
- Cumulative thicknesses of cohesionless soil layers with  $(N_1)_{60} < 15$ ,  $T_{15} = 27$  m.
- Average fines content for soils in the  $T_{15}$  layer,  $F_{15} = 5\%$
- Mean grain size for soils in the  $T_{15}$  layer,  $D_{50(15)} = 0.35$  mm

Post-seismic lateral displacement is estimated to approximately 0.4 m and 2 m under the 224- and 2,475year return periods, respectively. The soil displacements obtained from the Youd's method can be considered the upper bound values as the ground slope used in the calculation was taken on the conservative side.

## 6.6.3 Design Soil Displacement Profiles

The soil lateral displacements at the ground surface obtained from the Newmark sliding block method were used to develop the soil displacement profiles with depth. The soil lateral movement profiles were estimated from the "lateral displacement index" (LDI) presented in Idriss & Boulanger (2008) by integrating maximum shear strains that develop during liquefaction versus depth. This method provides an estimate of the potential lateral displacement based on estimated maximum shear strains (which are a function of penetration resistance) of the soil at each borehole location. The LDI profiles were then scaled to match the horizontal displacement at the ground surface to evaluate the post-seismic pile deflection. The scaled LDI profiles are shown in Figure 54.

## 6.7 POST-SEISMIC SOIL SETTLEMENT

The post-seismic ground settlement due to the dissipation of excess pore pressure during earthquake was estimated using the CPT approach proposed in Idriss and Boulanger (2014). The settlement in each layer was estimated and the cumulative settlement was calculated as a function of depth. The magnitude of the cumulative settlement increases towards the ground surface as the contribution from each liquefiable layer is added.

Post-seismic settlements of 0.2 m to 0.5 m along the pier were estimated under the 224-year return period, and post-seismic settlements of 0.4 m to 1.3 m along the pier were estimated under the 2475-year return period.

## 6.8 PILE AXIAL CAPACITY

Both the API and LCPC methods were used to estimate the pile axial capacity. The API method estimates the shaft resistance and end bearing capacity factors which consider the soil density, soil-pile interface friction angle, lateral earth pressure, and soil overburden stress. The LCPC method uses the CPT tip resistance, which is available to about 30 m depth, to calculate the shaft and end-bearing resistance of the pile. The results of the LCPC method were used to estimate the shaft resistance and end bearing capacity factors of the API method, and the calibrated parameters were then used to estimate the pile capacity at greater depths.

Under the post-seismic condition, a residual soil strength of  $0.1\sigma'_{v}$  was used for the liquefied soils to estimate the pile capacity, where  $\sigma'_{v}$  is the initial soil vertical effective stress.

The ultimate axial capacities in compression under the static and seismic conditions (2475-year return period) of 1220 mm diameter and 25.4 mm thick steel pipe piles are shown in Figure 55. Significant increase in the pile capacity is estimated if the piles are driven into the till deposits. For a pile embedment in the till deposits of 3 m, the ultimate axial capacities in compression under the 2475-year return period is estimated to be in the order of 20,000 kN.

## 6.9 SEISMIC NUMERICAL MODELING

### 6.9.1 Seismic model

Two-dimensional (2D) seismic numerical modeling was carried out to provide further details of the seismic response of the subsurface soils and verify the results of the simplified seismic analyses presented in the previous sections. The numerical modeling was performed using the FLAC finite difference program (Itasca Inc.).

The FLAC modeling was carried out for a cross section along the pier in the north-south direction. The FLAC model covers the onshore yard, the pier and the marine slope, and extends to the Till deposits. Free field boundaries were applied at both sides of the model, and compliant boundary was applied at the base of the model. The Till deposits were modeled using the Elastic model. The soils that are susceptible to liquefaction were modeled using the PM4SAND model (Boulanger and Ziotopoulou, 2015), and the Mohr-Coulomb model was used for non-liquefiable soils above the design ground water level (i.e., LLWL at El. - 0.2 m CD).

The primary input parameters including soil relative density (Dr) and Shear modulus coefficient (Go) were estimated from the measured shear wave velocity (Vs), SPT (N<sub>1</sub>)<sub>60</sub> and CPT q<sub>C1N</sub> using the correlations proposed in Boulanger and Idriss (2014) and Boulanger and Ziotopoulou (2015). The contraction rate parameter  $h_{po}$  of the PM4SAND model was calibrated to the liquefaction triggering curve presented in Boulanger and Idriss (2014) using the element cyclic direct simple shear test (CDSS) modeled in FLAC. The design profiles of the input parameters are shown in Figures 56 and 57.

Three select earthquake records including one crustal, one inslab, and one interface corresponding to the 2,475-year return period were applied at the base of the model as shear stress time-histories. The records were selected based on the results of the Newmark sliding block and Site-specific seismic ground response analyses that may present the seismic responses that are close to the average of all 30 earthquake records. The numerical modeling using all 30 earthquake records would be performed in the next design phase.

### 6.9.2 Modeling results

#### Post-seismic lateral soil displacement

The post-seismic lateral soil displacements were estimated from the FLAC analysis using the selected 2,475-year return period earthquake records.

The post-seismic soil lateral displacements are estimated to increase from close to zero at the onshore yard to about 2.3 m at the nearshore slope, and then decrease as the effect of the nearshore slope diminishes to about 1.1 m at the middle of the pier and about 0.9 m at the south end of the pier. The soil displacements then increase toward the marine slope. The soil horizonal displacements are shown in Figures 58 and 59.

#### Liquefaction extent

The representative contours of the excess pore water pressure ratio  $R_u$  are shown in Figure 60. The excess pore water pressure ratio ( $R_u$ ) estimated at the three pile locations (at station. 0+462 where maximum soil displacement was observed, at station. 0+340 - middle of the pier, and at station. 0+220 near the marine slope) are presented in Figure 61.

The soils are considered liquefied when the excess pore water pressure ratio ( $R_u$ ) is greater than 0.7. The FLAC analysis estimates soil liquefaction extending from the final dredge level to approximately El. – 32 m CD, which is consistent with the results of the simplified seismic analysis which estimates the liquefaction from the final dredge level to El. -37 m CD.

## 6.10 GROUND IMPROVEMENT

The results of the seismic slope stability and numerical modeling assessments indicate that the nearshore slope can cause seismic-induced ground displacement that affect the pile foundations of the proposed pier. Ground improvement at the nearshore slope can help reduce the seismic-induced ground and pile displacements. Alternatively, structural design can be performed to address the seismic-induced load and ground displacements without ground improvement. The two approaches mentioned above should be analyzed in the detail design phase of the project.

# 7.0 CONCLUSIONS

Stantec has completed a preliminary geotechnical assessment on a new Outfitting Pier in North Vancouver for Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards. The overall results of the geotechnical assessment are summarized as following:

- Liquefaction is estimated to occur from the dredged ground surface to El. -20 m CD and El. -37 m CD under the 224- and 2475-year return period, respectively.
- The average soil lateral displacements at the ground surface are estimated to be approximately 0.15 m and 1.58 m under the 224 and 2,475-year return periods, respectively.
- Post-seismic settlements of 0.2 m to 0.5 m along the pier are estimated under the 224-year return period, and post-seismic settlements of 0.4 m to 1.3 m along the pier were estimated under the 2475-year return period.
- The ultimate axial capacities in compression of 1220 mm diameter and 25.4 mm thick steel pipe piles are approximately 30 MPa and 28 MPa for a 55 m pile length under the static and seismic conditions (2475-year return period), respectively.
- The slope stability analysis of a cross section along the pier in the north-south direction indicated that the Factor of Safety (FoS) for the static and pseudo-static conditions are larger than 1.6 for both the 224 and 2,475-year return period for all slopes. Under the post-liquefaction condition, the estimated FoS are larger than 1.9 for the overall and marine slope stability; however, a FoS less than 1 was estimated for the nearshore slope.
- The results of post-seismic lateral soil displacement and liquefaction extent obtained from the numerical modeling using representative earthquake records indicated a good agreement with those estimated from the simplified methods.

# 8.0 CLOSURE

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of the Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards (Seaspan) and its agents for specific application to proposed Outfitting Pier at the Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards in North Vancouver, British Columbia. Any use of this report or the material contained herein by third parties, or for other than the intended purpose, should first be approved in writing by Stantec.

Use of this report is subject to the Statement of General Conditions included in **Appendix A**. "Stantec" in the Statement of General Conditions specifically refers to Stantec Geotechnical Engineering in terms of this multi-discipline Stantec project.

It is the responsibility of Seaspan, who is identified as "the Client" within the Statement of General Conditions, and their agents to review the conditions and notify Stantec should any of them not be satisfied. The Statement of General Conditions addresses the following:

- Use of the report
- Basis of the report
- Standard of care
- Interpretation of site conditions
- Varying or unexpected site conditions
- Planning, design, or construction

We trust that this report meets your present requirements. If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Regards,

#### STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.



Digitally signed by Viet Tran Date: 2020.10.15 16:04:39 -07'00'

#### Viet Tran, Ph.D., P.Eng. Geotechnical Engineer Phone: (604) 412-3032 Viet.Tran@stantec.com

Shokouh Meshkinfar

Digitally signed by Shokouh Meshkinfar Date: 2020.10.14 15:33:51 -07'00'

Shokouh Meshkinfar, M.Eng., P.Eng. Geotechnical Engineer Phone: (604) 360 -2734 Shokouh.Meshkinfar@stantec.com

Reviewed by:

Nigel Patrick Denby Digitally signed by Nigel Patrick Denby - P. Eng. - EGBC Date: 2020.10.15 10:52:18 -07/00'

#### Nigel Denby, M.Eng., P.Eng.

Business Leader, Geotechnical (Canada) Senior Vice President, Environmental Services Phone: (604) 787-8180 Nigel.Denby@stantec.com

# 9.0 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Boulanger, R.W. and Idriss, I.M. (2014). CPT and SPT Based Liquefaction Triggering Procedures. Davis: University of California at Davis.
- Boulanger, R. W., and Ziotopoulou, K. (2015). PM4Sand (Version 3): A sand plasticity model for earthquake engineering applications. Center for Geotechnical Modeling Report No. UCD/CGM-15/01, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Davis, Calif.
- 3. Canadian Geotechnical Society. Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual. (2006).
- 4. Finn, W.L., Dowling, J. and Ventura, C.E. (2016). Evaluating liquefaction potential and lateral spreading in a probabilistic ground motion environment. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 91, pp.202-208.
- 5. GeoStudio (2018). SLOPE/W: Computer program for slope stability analysis, Version 9.04.15639. Developed by GEOSLOPE International Ltd., Calgary, Alberta.
- 6. Hatanaka, M., & Uchida, A. (1996). Empirical correlation between penetration resistance and internal friction angle of sandy soils. Soils and foundations, 36(4), 1-9.
- 7. Idriss, I.M., and Boulanger, R.W. (2008). Soil Liquefaction during Earthquakes. Earthquake Engineering Research Institute.
- 8. Lpile (2019) Computer program for analyzing stress and deformation of individual piles or drilled shaft under lateral load, Version 2019.11.01. Developed by Ensoft, Inc.
- 9. Natural Resources Canada (2020). National Building Code of Canada seismic hazard values. http://www.earthquakescanada.nrcan.gc.ca/hazard-alea/interpolat/calc-en.php.
- 10. NBCC (2015). National Building Code of Canada. Published by the National Research Council of Canada, 1200 Montreal Road, Ottawa, ON, K1A 0R6.
- 11. Robertson, P.K., and Cabal, K.L. (2015). "Guide to Cone Penetration Testing for Geotechnical Engineering." 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Gregg Drilling & Testing, Inc.
- 12. Jibson, R. W., Rathje, E. M., Jibson, M. W., and Lee, Y. W., 2013, SLAMMER -- Seismic Land Movement Modeled using Earthquake Records: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 12, chap. B1.
- 13. Olson, S. M., & Johnson, C. I. (2008). Analyzing liquefaction-induced lateral spreads using strength ratios. Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering, 134(8), 1035-1049.
- 14. Ordonez, G.A. (2012) SHAKE2000 A Computer Program for the 1-D Analysis of Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Problems. GeoMotions, LLC; Lacey, Washington, USA.
- 15. Seed, H. B., and Idriss, I. M. (1970). Soil moduli and damping factors for dynamic response analysis. Rep. No. EERC 70-10. Earthquake Engineering Research Centre, Berkeley, CA.

#### SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER - PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT

16. Youd, T.L., Hansen, C.M., and Bartlett, S.F. (2002). "Revised Multilinear Regression Equations for Prediction of Lateral Spread Displacement." Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering 128 (12): 1007-1017.

# **FIGURES**



DCKET	Permit-Seal PRELIMINARY	Consultants	<b>Stante</b>
	FOR INFORMATION ONLY		1100-111 Dunsmuir Street Vancouver BC V6B 6A3 www.stantec.com The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproductic or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



ORIGINAL SHEET - ANSI B



Figure No. 2

SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER EXPANSION











Project No.: 115619249 Scale: ---Date: 06-Oct-20 Prepared by: SM Checked by: VT

#### Client/Project

#### Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.

CSR, PGA, and τ<sub>max</sub> Profiles
 Crustal Earthquake Records
 2475-Year Return Period





Project No.: 115619249 Scale: ---Date: 06-Oct-20 Prepared by: SM Checked by: VT

CSR, PGA, and  $\tau_{max}$  Profiles Inslab Earthquake Records 2475-Year Return Period

#### Client/Project

#### Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.

7





 Project No.:
 115619249

 Scale:
 -- 

 Date:
 06-Oct-20

 Prepared by:
 SM

 Checked by:
 VT

Title CSR, PGA, and τ<sub>max</sub> Profiles Interface Earthquake Records 2475-Year Return Period

#### Client/Project

#### Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.

8


**Stantec** 

Project No.: 115619249 Scale: ---Date: 06-Oct-20 Prepared by: SM Checked by: VT

# Client/Project

# Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

> Figure No. 9

<sup>le</sup> CSR, PGA, and τ<sub>max</sub> profiles
 Average of Crustal, Inslab and Interface Records
 2475-Year Return Period





 Project No.:
 115619249

 Scale:
 -- 

 Date:
 06-Oct-20

 Prepared by:
 SM

 Checked by:
 VT

### Title CSR, PGA, and τ<sub>max</sub> Profiles Crustal Earthquake Records 224-Year Return Period

# Client/Project

## Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.





Project No.:115619249Scale:---Date:06-Oct-20Prepared by:SMChecked by:VT

Title

# CSR, PGA, and $\tau_{max}$ Profiles Inslab Earthquake Records 224-Year Return Period

# Client/Project

## Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.





 Project No.:
 115619249

 Scale:
 -- 

 Date:
 06-Oct-20

 Prepared by:
 SM

 Checked by:
 VT

# Client/Project

### Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.

Title CSR, PGA, and  $\tau_{max}$  Profiles Interface Earthquake Records 224-Year Return Period

















SCPT 20-01



**CPT 20-02** 



SCPT 20-03



CPT 20-04



SCPT 20-05









SCPT 20-01



**CPT 20-02** 



SCPT 20-03



CPT 20-04



SCPT 20-05







Project No.: 115619249 Scale: ---Date: 19-June-20 Prepared by: SM Checked by: VT

Title Drain Effective Friction Angle Design Profile Client/Project

Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Figure No.



Color	Color Name Model		Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21	0	35	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef .: 0 g



Notes Project Infomation Client/Project Project No.: 115619249 Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards Stantec Scale: N/A 29May20 Date: Outfitting Pier Expansion Drawn by: North Vancouver, British Columbia SM Reviewed by: VT Title Project Location Fig No. North Vancouver 35 Overall Slope Stability British Columbia Static condition

DISCLAIMER: The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Color Name Model		Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line	
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21	0	35	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.058 g



Notes Project Infomation Client/Project Project No.: 115619249 Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards Stantec Scale: N/A 29May20 Date: Outfitting Pier Expansion Drawn by: North Vancouver, British Columbia SM Reviewed by: VT Title Project Location Fig No. **Overall Slope Stability** North Vancouver 36 DISCLAIMER: The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden. Pseudo-static condition, British Columbia k(h)=0.5PGA 224-year return period

Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21			0	35	1
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19	0	0.1			1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef .: 0 g



Fig No.

37

Notes Project Infomation Client/Project Project No.: 115619249 Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards Stantec Scale: N/A 29May20 Date: Outfitting Pier Expansion Drawn by: North Vancouver, British Columbia SM Reviewed by: VT Project Location Title Overall Slope Stability North Vancouver Post-seismic condition DISCLAIMER: The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden. British Columbia 224-year return period

Color Name Model		Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line	
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21	0	35	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.111 g



Notes Project Infomation Client/Project Project No.: 115619249 Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards Stantec Scale: N/A 25May20 Date: Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia Drawn by: SM Reviewed by: VT Project Location Title Fig No. Overall Slope Stability North Vancouver 38 Pseudo-static condition, DISCLAIMER: The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden. British Columbia k(h)=0.5PGA 2,475-year return period

Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21			0	35	1
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19	0	0.1			1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	29	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g



Stantec	Project Infomation Project No.: 1156192 Scale: N/A Date: 25May20 Drawn by: SM Paviowed by: VI	Client/Project Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards OUtfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia
ne Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.	Project Location North Vancouver British Columbia	Title Overall Slope Stability Post-seismic condition 39 2.475-year return period

Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g



Color	Color Name Mod		Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.058 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Piezometric Line
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19			0	0.1	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29			1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32			1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35			1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38			1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40			1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.111 g



# \ccd0183-ppfss01\SHARED\_PROJECTS\115619249\_vs\\03\_data\analytical\slope-stability\SectionA-A\maine\2475-year\115619249-section-A-A-maine-R-1-2475-yr.gs 15(29/202001:08:31 PM By: Meshkinfar, Shekouh

Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Piezometric Line
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19			0	0.1	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29			1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38			1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40			1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g


Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21	0	35	1
sand-29		Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32 Mohr-		19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21	0	35	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.058 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21			0	35	1
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19	0	0.1			1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g



Color Name M		Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21	0	35	1
sand-29 Mohr-Coulomb		19	0	29	1	
	sand-32 Mohr-Coulomb		19	0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35	1
sand-38 Mo		Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.111 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21			0	35	1
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19	0	0.1			1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	29	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21			0	35	1
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19	0	0.1			1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	29	1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	32	1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	35	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.035 g

Notes



Project Information		Client/Project
Project No.:	115619249	Seaspan Var
Scale:	N/A	
Date:	29May20	Outfitting Pie
Drawn by:	SM	North Vanco
Reviewed by:	VT	
Project Location		Title
North Vancouve	ar .	Overall Slop
Pritich Calumabia		Seismic - vie
		224-vear re
Scale: Date: Drawn by: Reviewed by: Project Location North Vancouve British Columbia	N/A 29May20 SM VT	Outfitting P North Vanc

easpan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

	Fig No.
Overall Slope Stability	
Seismic - yield acceleration	50
224-year return period	

Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Piezometric Line
	fill	Mohr-Coulomb	21			0	35	1
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19	0	0.1			1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	29	1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	38	1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19			0	40	1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.03 g

Notes



**Stantec** 

DISCLAIMER: The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Proiect Infomation		Client/Project
Project No.: Scale:	115619249 N/A	Seaspan V
Date: Drawn by: Reviewed by:	25May20 SM VT	Outfitting F North Vand
Project Location North Vancouve British Columbia	er I	Title Overall Sle Seismic - 2 2 475-yeo

easpan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

	Fig No.
Overall Slope Stability	- 1
Seismic - yield acceleration	51
2,475-year return period	

Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Piezometric Line
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19			0	0.1	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29			1
	sand-32	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	32			1
	sand-35	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	35			1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38			1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40			1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.115 g



Color	Name	Model	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Cohesion' (kPa)	Phi' (°)	Minimum Strength (kPa)	Tau/Sigma Ratio	Piezometric Line
	liquefiable sand	SHANSEP	19			0	0.1	1
	sand-29	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	29			1
	sand-38	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	38			1
	sand-40	Mohr-Coulomb	19	0	40			1

Horz Seismic Coef.: 0.17 g





2,475-year return period

54





#### Post-seismic Condition, 2475-year return period







Project No.:115619249Scale:---Date:20-June-20Prepared by:VTChecked by:ND

#### Client/Project

#### Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Title

Design profiles of soil parameters

Figure No.

56





Project No.:115619249Scale:---Date:20-June-20Prepared by:VTChecked by:ND

#### Client/Project

#### Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards

Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbia

Title

Design profiles of soil parameters

Figure No. 57

North 0+200 0+220 0+240 0+260 0+020 0+140 0+160 0+180 0+280 0+300 0+320 0+380 0+400 0+420 0+440 0+460 0+520 0+540 0+040 0+060 0+080 0+100 0+120 0+340 0+3600+4800+5000+6626 0 ------ Crustal-09--SMART1986\_M73R54\_RSN580\_45006EW\_2475YR Inslab-08-Nisqually\_2001\_M6.8\_R75\_7032-1416\_215n\_2475YR -0.5 Soil horizontal displacement (m) ----- Interface-09-Tokachioki2003 M8 R245 HKD181 EWn 2475YR -1 -1.5 -2 -2.5 -3 pier -3.5 -4 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500 550 600 Distance (m)

#### <u>Note</u>:

- Three earthquake records (1 Crustal, 1 Inslab, and 1 Interface) under the 2,475-year return period were analyzed. The selected motions may present the seismic responses that are close to the average of all 30 earthquake records.



Project No Scale:	D.: 115619249	Client/Project Seaspan Vancouver Shipyards
Date: Prepared Checked	20-June-20 by: VT by: ND	Outfitting Pier Expansion North Vancouver, British Columbic
Title Post-S	eismic Lateral Soil Disp	Figure Fi

Post-Seismic Lateral Soil Displacemen Numerical Seismic Analysis, 2,475-Year Return Period





Representative excess pore water pressure ratio contours - 2,475-Year Return Period



Note:

Ru, horizontal soil and pile displacements 2,475-Year Return Period

Figure No. 61

Appendix A

## Appendix A STATEMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS



#### STATEMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

**USE OF THIS REPORT:** This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of the Client or its agent and may not be used by any third party without the express written consent of Stantec and the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this report is the responsibility of such third party.

**BASIS OF THE REPORT:** The information, opinions, and/or recommendations made in this report are in accordance with Stantec's present understanding of the site specific project as described by the Client. The applicability of these is restricted to the site conditions encountered at the time of the investigation or study. If the proposed site specific project differs or is modified from what is described in this report or if the site conditions are altered, this report is no longer valid unless Stantec is requested by the Client to review and revise the report to reflect the differing or modified project specifics and/or the altered site conditions.

**STANDARD OF CARE:** Preparation of this report, and all associated work, was carried out in accordance with the normally accepted standard of care in the state or province of execution for the specific professional service provided to the Client. No other warranty is made.

**INTERPRETATION OF SITE CONDITIONS:** Soil, rock, or other material descriptions, and statements regarding their condition, made in this report are based on site conditions encountered by Stantec at the time of the work and at the specific testing and/or sampling locations. Classifications and statements of condition have been made in accordance with normally accepted practices which are judgmental in nature; no specific description should be considered exact, but rather reflective of the anticipated material behavior. Extrapolation of in situ conditions can only be made to some limited extent beyond the sampling or test points. The extent depends on variability of the soil, rock and groundwater conditions as influenced by geological processes, construction activity, and site use.

**VARYING OR UNEXPECTED CONDITIONS:** Should any site or subsurface conditions be encountered that are different from those described in this report or encountered at the test locations, Stantec must be notified immediately to assess if the varying or unexpected conditions are substantial and if reassessments of the report conclusions or recommendations are required. Stantec will not be responsible to any party for damages incurred as a result of failing to notify Stantec that differing site or sub-surface conditions are present upon becoming aware of such conditions.

**PLANNING, DESIGN, OR CONSTRUCTION:** Development or design plans and specifications should be reviewed by Stantec, sufficiently ahead of initiating the next project stage (property acquisition, tender, construction, etc), to confirm that this report completely addresses the elaborated project specifics and that the contents of this report have been properly interpreted. Specialty quality assurance services (field observations and testing) during construction are a necessary part of the evaluation of sub-subsurface conditions and site preparation works. Site work relating to the recommendations included in this report should only be carried out in the presence of a qualified geotechnical engineer; Stantec cannot be responsible for site work carried out without being present



SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER – PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT

Appendix B

## Appendix B BOREHOLE RECORDS



#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS USED ON BOREHOLE AND TEST PIT RECORDS

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION

#### Terminology describing common soil genesis

Rootmat	vegetation, roots and moss with organic matter and topsoil typically forming a mattress at the ground surface
Topsoil	mixture of soil and humus capable of supporting vegetative growth
Peat	mixture of visible and invisible fragments of decayed organic matter
Till	unstratified glacial deposit which may range from clay to boulders
Fill	material below the surface identified as placed by humans (excluding buried services)

#### Terminology describing soil structure

Desiccated	having visible signs of weathering by oxidization of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure
Varved	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay
Stratified	composed of alternating successions of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand
Layer	> 75 mm in thickness
Seam	2 mm to 75 mm in thickness
Parting	< 2 mm in thickness

#### Terminology describing soil types

The classification of soil types are made on the basis of grain size and plasticity in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) (ASTM D 2487 or D 2488) which excludes particles larger than 75 mm. For particles larger than 75 mm, and for defining percent clay fraction in hydrometer results, definitions proposed by Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition are used. The USCS provides a group symbol (e.g. SM) and group name (e.g. silty sand) for identification.

#### Terminology describing cobbles, boulders, and non-matrix materials (organic matter or debris)

Terminology describing materials outside the USCS, (e.g. particles larger than 75 mm, visible organic matter, and construction debris) is based upon the proportion of these materials present:

Trace, or occasional	Less than 10%	
Some	10-20%	
Frequent	> 20%	

#### Terminology describing compactness of cohesionless soils

The standard terminology to describe cohesionless soils includes compactness (formerly "relative density"), as determined by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N-Value - also known as N-Index. The SPT N-Value is described further on Page 2. A relationship between compactness condition and N-Value is shown in the following table.

<b>Compactness Condition</b>	SPT N-Value
Very Loose	<4
Loose	4-10
Compact	10-30
Dense	30-50
Very Dense	>50

#### Terminology describing consistency of cohesive soils

The standard terminology to describe cohesive soils includes the consistency, which is based on undrained shear strength as measured by *in situ* vane tests, penetrometer tests, or unconfined compression tests. Consistency may be crudely estimated from SPT N-Value based on the correlation shown in the following table (Terzaghi and Peck, 1967). The correlation to SPT N-Value is used with caution as it is only very approximate.

Consistency	Undrained SI	Approximate		
Consistency	kg/cm <sup>2</sup> or kips/sq.ft.	kPa	SPT N-Value	
Very Soft	<0.25	<12.5	<2	
Soft	0.25 - 0.5	12.5 - 25	2-4	
Firm	0.5 - 1.0	25 - 50	4-8	
Stiff	1.0 - 2.0	50 – 100	8-15	
Very Stiff	2.0 - 4.0	100 - 200	15-30	
Hard	>4.0	>200	>30	

Stantec SYMBOLS AND TERMS USED ON BOREHOLE AND TEST PIT RECORDS – JUNE 2019

#### STRATA PLOT

Strata plots symbolize the soil or bedrock description. They are combinations of the following basic symbols. The dimensions within the strata symbols are not indicative of the particle size, layer thickness, etc.



Asphalt





.0

۵.









Cobbles

Boulders

ITT Undifferentiated

Bedrock



Bedrock



Bedrock

Metamorphic Ianeous

Bedrock

#### SAMPLE TYPE

AS, BS, GS	Auger sample; bulk sample; grab sample
DP	Direct-Push sample (small diameter tube sampler hydraulically advanced)
PS	Piston sample
SO	Sonic tube
SS	Split spoon sample (obtained by performing the Standard Penetration Test)
ST	Shelby Tube or thin wall tube
SV	Shear vane
RC HQ, NQ, BQ, etc.	Rock Core; samples obtained with the use of standard size diamond coring bits.

#### WATER LEVEL



Measured: in standpipe, piezometer, or well



Inferred: seepage noted, or; measured during or at completion of drilling

#### **RECOVERY FOR SOIL SAMPLES**

The recovery is recorded as the length of the soil sample recovered in the direct push, split spoon sampler, Shelby Tube, or sonic tube.

#### **N-VALUE**

Numbers in this column are the field results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT): the number of blows of a 140-pound (63.5 kg) hammer falling 30 inches (760 mm), required to drive a 2 inch (50.8 mm) O.D. split spoon sampler one foot (300 mm) into the soil. In accordance with ASTM D1586, the N-Value equals the sum of the number of blows (N) required to drive the sampler over the interval of 6 to 18 in. (150 to 450 mm). However, when a 24 in. (610 mm) sampler is used, the number of blows (N) required to drive the sampler over the interval of 12 to 24 in. (300 to 610 mm) may be reported if this value is lower. For split spoon samples where insufficient penetration was achieved and N-Values cannot be presented, the number of blows are reported over sampler penetration in millimetres (e.g. 50 for 75 mm or 50/75 mm). Some design methods make use of Nvalues corrected for various factors such as overburden pressure, energy ratio, borehole diameter, etc. No corrections have been applied to the N-values presented on the log.

#### DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST (DCPT)

Dynamic cone penetration tests are performed using a standard 60-degree apex cone connected to 'A' size drill rods with the same standard fall height and weight as the Standard Penetration Test. The DCPT value is the number of blows of the hammer required to drive the cone one foot (300 mm) into the soil. The DCPT is used as a probe to assess soil variability.

#### **OTHER TESTS**

S	Sieve analysis		Single packer permeability test; test	
Н	Hydrometer analysis		interval from depth shown to bottom of	
k	Laboratory permeability	1	borehole	
Ŷ	Unit weight	Т		
Gs	Specific gravity of soil particles		Double packer permeability test; test	
CD	Consolidated drained triaxial			
сυ	Consolidated undrained triaxial with pore pressure	Ŷ	Falling head normaghility test using	
	measurements		Failing head permeability test using	
UU	U Unconsolidated undrained triaxial		casing	
DS	Direct Shear	 ▼		
С	Consolidation		Falling head permeability test using well	
Qu	Unconfined compression		point or piezometer	
Ιp	Point Load Index ( $I_p$ on Borehole Record equals $I_p(50)$ in which the index is corrected to a reference diameter of 50 mm)	]	1	

#### **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

Except where specified below, terminology for describing rock is as defined by the International Society for Rock Mechanics (ISRM) 2007 publication "The Complete ISRM Suggested Methods for Rock Characterization, Testing and Monitoring: 1974-2006"

**Total Core Recovery (TCR)** denotes the sum of all measurable rock core recovered in one drill run. The value is noted as a percentage of recovered rock core based on the total length of the drill run.

**Solid Core Recovery (SCR)** is defined as total length of solid core divided by the total drilled length, presented as a percentage. Solid core is defined as core with one full diameter.

**Rock Quality Designation (RQD)** is a modified core recovery that incorporates only pieces of solid core that are equal to or greater than 10 cm (4") along the core axis. It is calculated as the total cumulative length of solid core (> 10 cm) as measured along the centerline of the core divided by the total length of borehole drilled for each drill run or geotechnical interval, presented as a percentage. RQD is determined in accordance with ASTM D6032.

**Fracture Index (FI)** is defined as the number of naturally occurring fractures within a given length of core. The Fracture Index is reported as a simple count of natural occurring fractures.

Rock Mass Quality	Rock Quality Designation Number (RQD)	Alternate (Colloquial) Rock Mass Quality		
Very Poor Quality	0-25	Very Severely Fractured	Crushed	
Poor Quality	25-50	Severely Fractured	Shattered or Very Blocky	
Fair Quality	50-75	Fractured	Blocky	
Good Quality	75-90	Moderately Jointed	Sound	
Excellent Quality	90-100	Intact	Very Sound	

#### Terminology describing rock quality

#### Terminology describing rock strength

Strength Classification	Grade	Unconfined Compressive Strength (MPa)
Extremely Weak	R0	<1
Very Weak	R1	1 – 5
Weak	R2	5 – 25
Medium Strong	R3	25 – 50
Strong	R4	50 – 100
Very Strong	R5	100 – 250
Extremely Strong	R6	>250

#### Terminology describing rock weathering

Term	Symbol	Description	
Fresh	W1	No visible signs of rock weathering. Slight discoloration along major discontinuities	
Slightly	W2	Discoloration indicates weathering of rock on discontinuity surfaces. All the rock material may be discolored.	
Moderately W3		Less than half the rock is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil.	
Highly	W4	More than half the rock is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil.	
Completely W5		All the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil. The original mass structure is still largely intact.	
Residual Soil	W6	All the rock converted to soil. Structure and fabric destroyed.	

#### Terminology describing rock with respect to discontinuity and bedding spacing

Spacing (mm)	Discontinuities Spacing	Bedding
>6000	Extremely Wide	-
2000-6000	Very Wide	Very Thick
600-2000	Wide	Thick
200-600	Moderate	Medium
60-200	Close	Thin
20-60	Very Close	Very Thin
<20	Extremely Close	Laminated
<6	-	Thinly Laminated

		Stantec Seaspan Vancouver Shir	ova	rds	E	BOR	REH	OLE RECO	RD BH	1000		ATES		Р		-CT	NO	<b>B</b> ∙ 11	H20-	01
PR	OJEC	T: Seaspan Outfitting Pier Ex	xpa	insio	n				_ [U	TM]		/ (125		B	H ELE	:VAT	10N	: <u>-5</u>	.31m	
LC	CATIO	DN: North Vancouver, British	<u>Col</u>	umb	ia				_ 54	62061	.8N 4	492349	9.5E	D	ATUN	Л: _	Ch	art		
DA	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/5/2020 to 5/7/2</u>	020						w. Lune	ORAINE	EVEL:	EL. 3	8.1 n	n Me I. Cu I	ean :	sea	Lev	/el		
DEPTH (m)	EVATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	ATA PLOT		BER	RY (mm) R %	ALUE 2D %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	LAI PC	BORATO CKET F 50	ORY TE PEN. KPa	ST ▲ ★ 100	) kPa	FIELD POCK	VANE (ET SHI 50 kPc	EAR V	VANE 200	♦ ■ kPa	BACKFILL/ INITOR WELL/ IEZOMETER	EVATION (m)
	<b>H</b> -5.3		STR	ΤΥ	NUN	RECOVE or IC	N-V or RG		WA SPT	ATER Co (N-valu	ONTEN Je) BLO 20 :	IT & AT WS/0.3r <sup>Water Con</sup> 30	rERBEI n tent (%) a 40	RG LIN nd Blow C 50	VITS Count 60	W <sub>P</sub> 	•	₩∟ ⊣	M	
		Very loose to compact, grey, poorly graded SAND (SP) - traces of silt																		
- 1 -				SS	1	200	1		•										-	
- 2 -				SS	2	250	17												-	7
																				8
- 3 -				SS	3	600	17			•									-	
- 4 -																			-	9
- 5 -																			-	- 10
				SS	4	550	5													
				SS	5	450	12			•										- 12
- 7 -	10.0																		-	
- 8 -	-12.7	Loose to compact, grey, SILTY SAND (SM)		SS	6	550	7	Grain Size Analysis: G S Fines 0% 73% 27%											-	13
																				-14
		- traces of gravel at 9.1 m		SS	7	600	31	Percent Passing #200: 13%												-15
- 10 -																			-	
- 11 -																			-	16 -
- 12 -																			-	17
		- arey poorly graded SAND (SP) with silt		SS	8	50		SPT hammer malfunction at SS-08; repair hammer, clean out borehole and												- 18
- 13		at 12.7 m - traces of shell fragments below 12.7 m		SS	9	425	7	conduct SS-09. Percent Passing #200: 11%											1	
- 14 -																			-	
- 15 -																				
			_		_			Drilling Cor	ntract	tor: M	ud Ba	ıy Drilli	ng /	Con	eTec		Lo	ogge	d By: NG	G/MY
BAC			GR					TE Drilling Met	hod:	Mud	Rotar	У					R	eviev	/ed By:	BH
B	-NION		JSAI	ND		ISTOI	JGH	Completio	n Dep	otn:	62 m						P	age	I of 5	

		itantec		rde	E	BOR	REH	OLE RECOR	RD	<u> </u>									E	3H20-	01
PR	OJEC	T: Seaspan Outfitting Pier E:	xpa	nsio	n				_ ып _ [UT	СОС M]	RUIN	IATES	>		Bł	H EL	ECT EVA	on 10it/	√: <u>-</u>	501724 5.31m	47
LC	CATIC	DN: North Vancouver, British	Col	umb	ia				_ 546	62061	.8N	4923	49.5	Е	D.	ATU	M: .	Cl	nart		
DA	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/5/2020 to 5/7/2</u>	020						_ W/		EVEL:	EL.	3.1	m	Me	an	Se	a Le	vel		
DEPTH (m)	EVATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	ATA PLOT	PE	SAM BER	RY (mm) R %	ALUE 2D %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	LAB PO	ORATO CKET P 50	D SHE/ DRY TE EN. kPa	AR SI	▲ ★ 00 kF	FI FI P	CU (I ELD ) OCK	VAN ET SH 50 kP	E TES IEAR	ST 20	♦ IE ■ 0 kPa	BACKFILL/ INITOR WELL/ IEZOMETER	EVATION (m)
- 15 -	ELI		STF	1	VNN	RECOVE or IC	N-V or RC		WA SPT	TER C( (N-valu	DNTEN e) BLO	IT & A WS/0. <sup>Water C</sup> 30	ATTER .3m .content .40	BER(	G LIN I BIOW C	NITS	••• <sub>F</sub>	0 0		v ₩	E _
		Loose to compact, grey, SILTY SAND (SM)		SS	10	425	13			•											
- 16 -																· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
- 17 -																· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					23
- 19 -				SS	11	450	15	Grain Size Analysis: G S Fines 9% 60% 31%		•											24
- 1																					25
- 21				22	12	600	5														
- 22 -																					27
- 23 -																· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					29
- 25 -		- gravelly between 24.7 m to 24.8 m		SS	13	600	10	Percent Passing #200: 28%													30
- 26																· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
- 27 -		- traces of gravel at 27.2 m		SS	14	400	43									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					32
- 28 																					34
- 30 -									tract												
RACI	(EIII ¢		lc.	OUT	[ <u>.</u>			Drilling Cor	hod.	or: Mu Mud	ra Rc	iy Dr v	iiing	g / C	_one	elec	;		ogge Reviev	ved Bv: N	J/MY BH
BACI	ENTON		]SAI	VD		SLOI	JGH	Completion	n Dep	th: d	62 m	7						F	age	2 of 5	110

CL		Seaspan Vancouver Ship	oyaı	rds	I	BOF	REH	OLE RECOI	<b>RD</b> вн	COC	RDIN	ATES		F	PROJ	ect	NO	E . : <u>11</u>	3H2O-	01 49
PR LC	OJEC CATIO	T: <u>Seaspan Outfitting Pier Ex</u> DN: <u>North Vancouver, British (</u>	<u>kpa</u> Col	nsio umb	n oia				_ [UT _ 546	M] 52061	.8N 4	492349	9.5E	E	3h el Datu	EVA M: .	101T. <b>10</b>	√: <u>-</u> { hart	5.31m	
DA	TE BC	DRED: <u>5/5/2020 to 5/7/20</u>	020					1	WA	TER L	EVEL:	<u>EL. 3</u>	8.1 n	n Me	ean	Se	a Le	vel		
)EPTH (m)	VATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	ATA PLOT		SAM	PLES	LUE D %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	LAB POO	CRATO CRATO CKET P 50	D SHEA DRY TE EN. kPa	ST ▲ * 100	:NGIF ) kPa	FIELD POC	(KPa) VAN KET SH 150 KP	E TES IEAR 'a	T VAN 20	♦ NE □ 0 kPa	ACKFILL/ NITOR WELL/ EZOMETER	:VATION (m)
- 30 -	ELE		STR/	TYP	MUN	RECOVER or TC	N-VA or RQ		WA SPT	TER CO	DNTEN e) BLO	T & ATT WS/0.3r <sup>Water Cont</sup>	TERBEI n tent (%) a 40	RG LL	MITS <sup>Count</sup>	W <sub>P</sub>	• • •	₩L <b>I</b>	a Mo B Mo P I	ELE
- 31 -		Loose to compact, grey, SILTY SAND (SM)		SS	15A	150		Percent Passing #200:												
- 32 -				SS	15B	450	23	6% Grain Size Analysis: G S Fines 1% 59% 40%						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
- 33 -														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38
- 34 -				SS	16	500	23	-			•							· · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·   · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	39
- 35 -														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-40
- 36 -	-41.9	Very loose to very dense, grey, low						Percent Passina #200												41
- 37 -		plastic, SILT (ML) - with sand to sandy		SS	17	600	1	83%						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						43
- 38 -														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
- 40 -																				- - - 
- 41 -				SS	18	350	24							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-46
- 42 -																				-47
- 43				SS	19	600	7	Percent Passing #200: 91%	•		0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 48
- 44 -																				49 
45																				E -50
			10-	o <del></del>	r	100		Drilling Cor	ntracto	or: Mu	ud Ba	y Drilli	ing /	Con	neTec	2		ogge	d By: NC	G/MY
BAC	NTON		GR  SA1			slo	NCRE UGH	Completio	n Dep	th: a	62 m	У					F	age	3 of 5	рц

Printed Jun 19 2020 10:33:52 STANTEC GEO 2016 LOGS\_115619249.GPJ MASTER1.GDT 6/19/20

		Stantec		rds	E	BOR	REH	OLE RECO	<b>RD</b>			וחם		TES						<b>∼</b> τ			B	H20-(	01
PR		T: Seaspan Outfitting Pier Ex	xpa	Insio	n				_ D	JTN	.00 1]	κDI	NA	153			B	H E	ELE/	∠1 √A	071 1011	' √:	-5.	.31m	<u>17</u>
LC	CATIO	DN: <u>North Vancouver, British</u>	Col	umb	ia				_ 54	462	2061.	8N	49	2349	9.5E		C	DAT	ŪΜ	: _	CI	nar	t		_
D/	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/5/2020 to 5/7/20</u>	020							VAT	ER LE	EVE	L:_	EL. 3	3.1	m	Me	ea	n S	ec	ı Le	ve	<u> </u>		
DEPTH (m)	EVATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	ATA PLOT		MAS	RY (mm) R % CR %	ALUE QD %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	UN LA PC	IDR. ABC DC	AINEE PRATC KET PE 50 I	) SH )RY EN. kPa	EAF TES	R STRE Γ ▲ ★ 100	NG ) kP(	TH, Fil P(	Cu ELD DCI 1	(kP VA (ET 50	a) INE 1 SHE kPa	TES AR	r VAN 20	IE 0 kP	♦ □ a	BACKFILL/ DNITOR WELL/ PIEZOMETER	EVATION (m)
- 45	II		STI	2	VNN	RECOVE or To	N-V Or RC		W SP	'ATE 'T (Ւ 10	R CC I-value 2	onte e) bl	OW OW 30	& AT S/0.3r ater Con	TERB n tent (% 40	ERC	Blow 0	Couni Couni	S t O	тр Г 70	•	- <b>1</b>		W	
40		Very loose to very dense, grey, low- plastic, SILT (ML)																							-
		- with sand to sandy - hard below 45.4 m		SS	20	300	48									•									51
40										:								: :							-
										:															52
- 4/ -																									
										:						· · · · · ·									53
- 48 -																· · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-
		- sandy below 48.5 m		SS	21	500	38	Percent Passing #200: 55%			0														54
- 49 -										:						· · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							<u>-</u>
										:															55
- 50 -										:															<u> </u>
										:						· · · · · ·		· · ·							56
- 51 -		inforred boulder from 51.0 m to 50.4 m								:						· · · · · ·		::: :::	50	blc	:::: ::::	:   : :   : 50 n	<u>: : :</u> : : : nm		-
		- difficult drilling below 51.2 m		SS	22	125	R			:						· · · · · ·							:>>•		57
- 52 -										:						::		::			<u> </u>		<u></u>		-
																									- 58
- 53 -																					<u></u>				-
- 54 -	-59.3	Very dense, grey, SILTY GRAVEL (GM)																: : : :	65 b	i   blov	vs/1	:   : 25 n	::: nm		-
		with sand		SS	23	200	R			:						· · · · · ·							:>>0		- 
- 55 -			26							:				<u></u>		::: :::		::: :::	<u> </u>		<u></u>		· · · ·		-
			5							:						· · · · · ·									
- 56 -			50							:						::: :::		:::							
										:															
- 57 -										:						::: :::		::			· · · ·				02
			26							:															
- 58 -			ĥ							:						::	::	::			· · · ·				63
			j f							:															-
- 59 -	-64.1	Hard, grey, sandy, lean CLAY (CL) with		22	24	250	R	Percent Passing #200: 36%		:		::.	; ;   ; ;			::		::: :::	70 b	: :   ploy	:::: vs/1	:l: 00 n	::: nm		64
		gravel (IIII-Iike)			24	200																			
- 60 -									:::	:								:::							65 -
			10-		<del>ر</del>		1000	Drilling Cor	ntrac	:to	: Mu	id B	ay	Drilli	ing	/ C	Con	eTe	ec			og	gec ic:	By: NC	J/MY
BAC	KHILL S ENTOP	NITE CONTRACT IN ASPHALT	ND		ICON SLOI	∿CRE1 JGH	Completion	nod n De	: N ptl	100 k n: 6	2 m	ury 1									van vev	e 4	=а ву: of 5	DH	

C		itantec		_	I	BOR	REH	OLE RECOI	RD														BH2	0-01
CL	LIENT:	Seaspan Vancouver Ship	oya vna	rds nsio	n				_ Bł	H C Itaa	00	RDI	NA	TES			P	RС н г		CT V A T		: <u>1</u> 	<u>1561</u>	<u>9249</u>
LC		DN: <u>North Vancouver, British</u>	Col	umb	ia				54	1620	) 061.	8N	49	2349	9.5E		D	AT	UM:	:	Ch	<u>art</u>	5.51	<u></u>
DA	ATE BC	DRED:	020						_ w	'ATE	R LE	EVE	L:_	EL. 3	3.1	m	Me	a	n S	ea	Le	vel		
PTH (m)	ATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT		SAM	PLES	٦ %	OTHER TESTS /	UN LA PC	DRA BOF DCK	INEE RATC ET PE 50 I	D SH DRY EN. kPa	EAF TES	R STRE T A T 100	NG ) kPc	TH, ( FII PC	Cu ELD DCk 1	(kP VA (ET 50	a) .NE T SHE/ kPa	EST AR Y	√AN 200	€ E		OMETER ATION (m)
DE	ELEV /	(0303)	STRAT	TΥPE	NUMBE	RECOVERY or ICR	N-VALI or RQD	REMARKO	W SP	ATEF T (N- 10	R CC value 2	' DNTE =) BL 0	ENT .OW .30	& AT S/0.3r	' FERB n tent (%	ERC	Blow C	VITS Count 60	۲ S	ν <sub>Ρ</sub> ┣ 70	w 0	'w <sub>∟</sub> − <b>1</b>	BA	ELEV
- 60 -		Hard, grey, sandy, lean CLAY (CL) with gravel (Till-like)																						-66
- 62 -	-67.3			SS	25	400	R	Percent Passing #200: 75%			ŀ					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			70 b	low	/s/12	25 m	::: m >>●	-67
- 63 -		End of borehole BH20-01 at 62.0 m below existing mudline											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	68
- 64 -													· · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
- 65 -													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											-70
- 66 -																								-71
- 67 -										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-72
- 68 -																		· · ·						73
- 69 -													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · ·	-74
- 70 -												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · ·						-75
- 71 -										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-76
- 72 -													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-77
- 73																		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· ·	78
- 74 -																								- 79 -
- 75 -								Drilling Co		tor	NAL.			Drilli									ed By	E -80
BAC	KFILL 4	SYMBOL RASPHALT	GR	ОЛТ	F.	]CO1	VCRF	TE Drillina Me	thod	: MI	Jd F	Rota	arv		чy	, С	.011	GIE			R	evie	ewed	By: BH
BI	ENTO	NITE ORILL CUTTINGS	SAI	ND.		SLO	UGH	Completio	n De	pth	: 6	2 m	<i>י</i> ו								P	age	5 of	5

		Stantec			I	BOR	REH		D										E	3H20-0	02
CL	IENT:	Seaspan Vancouver Shi	pya	rds					_ BH	COC	DRDI	NAT	ES		P	RO.	JECT	NC	.: <u>11</u>	<u>561924</u>	9
PR		T: <u>Seaspan Outfitting Pier E</u>	<u>xpa</u> Col	<u>nsio</u> umb	n Dia				_ [UT .546	M] 52192	.1N	492	2349	.0F	B	H EI	LEV A INA ·	ATION CI	√: <u>-(</u> hart	5.86m	
DA	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/7/2020 to 5/8/2</u>	020	01110					_ WA	TER L	EVE	L: <u>E</u>	L. 3	.1 m	n Me	an	n Se	a Le	vel		
					SAM	PLES			UND	RAINE	d Sh	EAR	STRE	1GTH	, Cu	(kPa	1)				
EPTH (m)	(M) (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	TA PLOT		ER	( (mm)	UE 0 %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	LAB PO(	ORAT CKET F 50	ORY PEN. kPa	TEST	▲ ★ 100	F F kPa	FIELD POCK	VAN (ET S 50 k	NE TES SHEAF Pa	ST R VAN 20	♦ IE ■ 0 kPa	ACKFILL/ IITOR WELL/ ZOMETER	VATION (m)
Δ	ELEV		STRA	ТҮРІ	NUMB	RECOVER) or TCR	N-VAI or RQE		WA SPT	TER C (N-valu	ONTE Je) BL	ENT 8 .OWS, Wate	ATTI /0.3m	ERBER	G LIN		W <sub>F</sub>	• W	₩ <sub>L</sub> - <b>1</b>	MON	ELE
- 0 -	-6.7	Very loose to compact, grey, poorly										30	4			60			80		-7
		graded SAND (SP) - traces of silt to with silt																			-
- 1 -				SS	1	150	25														8
								-													-
- 2 -								SS-03: duplicate sample					<u></u>								: 9
				SS SS	2	325	7	of SS-02 for blind chemical testing													-
- 3 -						0.05							<u> </u>								- 10
				55	4	325	9	-													-
- 4 -													<u></u>								11
								-													-
- 5 -				SS	5	350	7		•				:::: ::::								12
- 6 -								-					:::: ::::								
				SS	6	375	9		•												
- 7 -													· · · ·							-	- - 14
								-													
- 8 -		- silty at 7.6 m		SS	7	350	18	Grain Size Analysis: G S Fines 0% 85% 15%			) ::		· · · ·							-	15
- 9 -								-					· · · ·							-	16
				SS	8	400	19														
- 10 -								-					<u></u>								- 17
- 11 -									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · ·							-	- 10
- 12 -													· · · ·							-	- 10
				SS	9	350	13	Percent Passing #200: 6%		•											
- 13 -								-													
																					20
- 14 -																					-
																					21
_ 15 _																					-
Drilling Contractor: Mud Bay Drilling / ConeTec Logged By: N												d By: NC	3/MY								
	(FILL S	SYMBOL ASPHALT	GR			100	NCRE	TE Drilling Met	hod:	Mud	Roto	ary m						 		ved By:	BH
				лоп		, neb	ni I.	50.7	(11							uye	1014				

		Stantec Seaspan Vancouver Shir	ova	rds	I	BOR	REH	OLE RECO	RD <sub>BH</sub>	COC		JATES		1		IFCT	NO	E · 11	561924	02
PR	OJEC	T: <u>Seaspan Outfitting Pier E</u>	xpa	nsio	n				UT	M]	, NDII'			1	BHE	LEVA		l: <u>-6</u>	50172- 5.86m	<u></u>
LC	CATIO	DN: North Vancouver, British	Col	umb	ia				546	62192	.1N	49234	9.0E	[	DATI	JM:	Cł	art		
D/	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/7/2020 to 5/8/2</u>	020						WA	ATER L	EVEL	: <u>EL. (</u>	3.1 n	n M	ear	n Se	a Le	vel		
DEPTH (m)	LEVATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	<b>FRATA PLOT</b>	YPE	WBER	ERY (mm)	VALUE RQD %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	UND LAB POO	CRAINE ORATO CKET P 50	D SHE DRY TI EN. kPa	AR STRE				1) NE TES SHEAR Pa W <sub>F</sub>	5T 200 5 W	♦ E ■ ) kPa	BACKFILL/ ONITOR WELL/ PIEZOMETER	LEVATION (m)
- 15	Э		S	<b>–</b>	N	RECOV	- Z J		SPT	(N-valu	20	WS/0.3r Water Con	1 EK DE m tent (%) a 40	ind Blow	Count	F	0	- <b>1</b> 80	Z	ш _
		Very loose to compact, grey, poorly graded SAND (SP) - traces of silt to with silt		SS	10	325	13			•										22
																				23
- 1/ -																				24
- 10	-25.5	Very loose to compact, grey, SILTY		SS SS	11A 11B	225	1	Percent Passing #200:	•											25
- 19 -		SAND (SM)						29%												26
- 20 -											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								_	27
- 21 -				22	12	150	36												_	28
- 22 -					12	150													_	
- 23 -																			_	30
- 24					10	500	10	Grain Size Analysis:											_	31
- 25 -				55	13	500	12	0% 74% 26%											_	32
- 26																				33
- 27																			_	34
- 28 -				SS	14	375	8												_	
- 29 -																			_	36
_ 30 _																				-
						-		Drilling Co	ntracto	or: Mu	ud Bo	ay Drill	ing /	Cor	neTe	C	L	ogge	d By: NC	3/MY
BAC	KFILL S		GR	OUT				TE Drilling Me	thod:	Mud	Rota	ry					F	eviev	ved By:	BH
BI			JSAI	٩D	<b>**</b>	gslO	υGΗ	Completic	n Dep	om: 3	56./ n	11					P	age	2 of 4	

		Stantec Seaspan Vancouver Ship	ova	rds		BO	REH	OLE RECO	RD BH	coc	DRDII	VATE	S		Р	ROI	FCT	NO	<b>E</b> . 11	3H20-	02 49
PR	OJEC	T: <u>Seaspan Outfitting Pier Ex</u>	(pc	insi	on				[UT	[M]			-		B	H EL	EVA	10IT	l: <u>-(</u>	5.86m	
LC		DN: <u>North Vancouver, British (</u>		lum	bia				540	52192	.1N	4923	349.0	DE	D	DATU	M: .	Ch	nart		
DA	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/7/2020 to 5/8/20</u>	)20			40150					.EVEL D SHE	.: <u>EL</u> EAR ST	. <u>3</u> . Tren	im GTH,	. Cu	ean (kPa)	<u>se</u>	a Le	vei		<u> </u>
DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	STRATA PLOT	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY (mm)	OF LCK % N-VALUE or RQD %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	LAB PO WA SPT	CKET F 50 TER C	ORY T PEN. kPa ONTE DNTE De) BLC	NT & A	▲ 100 k 100 k ATTEI 0.3m	F Pa RBER			E TES HEAR Ya W <sub>P</sub>		E D kPa	BACKFILL/ MONITOR WELL/ PIEZOMETER	ELEVATION (m)
- 30 -	-37.1	Very loose to compact, grey, SILTY						Percent Passing #200:				30	40			60			80		-37 -
		Very loose, grey, low-plastic, SILT (ML)		SS	5 15	525	2	82%	•		Ċ										
- 31 32		wiin sana																		_	38
- 33 -													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	-40.4	Compact arey SILTY SAND (SM)						-													40 E
- 34 -					5 16	200	26	-													-41
- 35 -				•									· · ·								-42
- 36 -	-43.4												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								-43
- 37 -		Loose to compact, grey, low-plastic, SILT (ML)		S	5 17	600	7	Percent Passing #200: 74%			0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
																					-44
- 38 -													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								- 45
- 39 -													::: :::								-46
					10	400	17	Percent Passing #200:													
																					- 47 
													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								-48
- 42 -												· · ·	· · · · ·			· · · ·				-49	
								-													
- 43 -			375	80												•		50			
- 44																					-51
- 45 -																					
<b>.</b>			le.			<b>1</b> ~-		Drilling Co	ntract	or: M	ud B	ay Di	rillin	g / (	Con	eTeo	2		ogge	d By: N	G/MY
ВАС	KFILL S ENTOP	Symbol RASPHALT	GR Sa	XOUT ND		≥ICO Øslc	NCRE	Completic	nod: on Der	mud	кото 56.7 r	ary m						F P	aae	vea By: 3 of 4	вн
			1., (		×	M	0.011											'	990 190	5 01 4	

C		Stantec	•		E	BOF	REH	OLE RECO	RD								В	H20-	02
C PF	lient: Rojec	Seaspan Vancouver Sn	<u>ipya</u> Expa	<u>ras</u> Insio	n				_ вн	СОС [M]	JRDIN	IATES		PR BH	DJECT ELEVA	i no. Ation:	: <u>  </u> : -6	<u>561924</u> .86m	19
LC	DCATI	ON: North Vancouver, British	n Col	umb	oia				_ 540	, 52192	2.1N	49234	9.0E	DA	TUM:	Ch	art		
D,	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/7/2020 to 5/8/</u> 2	2020	1					W/	ATER I		: EL. :	<u>3.1 m</u>	Mec	in Se	a Lev	/el		
DEPTH (m)	(M) (M)	SOIL DESCRIPTION (USCS)	ATA PLOT		SAM	PLES (سس) کې لا % کې	NUE ND %	OTHER TESTS / REMARKS	LAB PO	ORAT CKET I 50	ORY TI PEN. kPa	EST A	F F F F F F F F F	ield V. Pocket 150	a) ANE TE: SHEAF KPa	st r vane 200	♦ ■ kPa	SACKFILL/ NITOR WELL/ IEZOMETER	EVATION (m)
			STR	Σ	MUN	RECOVE or TC	N-V		WA SPT	TER C (N-valı 0	ONTEN Je) BLC 20	VT & AT WS/0.3r Water Con	TERBER m tent (%) and 40	G LIMI d Blow Cou	$rs \mathbf{F}$	∝ 0 ε	w∟ ⊣I	- OM	E
- 45 -	52.5	Compact, grey, low-plastic, SILT (ML) - traces of sand to sandy																	-52 -52
- 46 -	-52.5	Very dense grey poorly graded SAND with silt (SP-SM)		SS	20	300	R								55 blc	ows/15	0 mm <u>  : :</u> >> <u> </u>   : : : : : :	•	53
- 47 -																		-	
- 48 -																		-	55
- 49 -	-55.7 -55.8	Dense, grey, low-plastic, SILT (ML) { traces of sand Very dense grey well graded SAND		SS SS	21A 21B	175 200	37				0	•						-	
- 50 -		(SW) - traces of silt																-	-57
- 51 -	-58.4							Grain Size Analysis:							50 blc		0 mm	-	
- 52 -		with sand		SS	22	250	R	G S Fines 40% 27% 33%										•	59
- 53 -																		-	60
- 54 -	-61.6							_										-	61
- 55 -		Very dense grey SILTY SAND (SM) with gravel (Till-like)		ss	23	200	R			0					60 DIC	ws/10	0 mm  >>  		62
- 56 -	-63.6			SS	24	225	R	Percent Passing #200:		0					60 bl	  ows/7	5 mm	•	63
- 57 -		End of borehole BH20-02 at 56.7 m below existing mudline																-	64
- 58 -																			65
- 59 -																		-	
- 60 -	1							Drilling Com						<u> ::::</u>	<u> ::::</u>	       			
RAC	KEILI	SYMBOL 🔛 asphalt		ЮПТ	F.:	ורטי		TF Drilling Met	thod:	Mud	Rota	rv	ny / (	Jonel	ec	R	-yyye eview	ed Bv:	BH
В	ENTO		SAI	ND		SLO	UGH	Completio	n Dep	oth:	56.7 n	, n				Po	age 4	4 of 4	

Printed Jun 19 2020 10:34:5 STANTEC GEO 2016 LOGS\_115619249.GPJ MASTER1.GDT 6/19/20

CL		Seaspan Vancouver Shi	ipya Txpo	rds	l	BOR	REH	OLE RECO	<b>RD</b> Bł	H C(	00	RDI	NA	TES				PRC		CT		D. : N·	B 	H20- 56192	03 49
LO		DN: <u>North Vancouver, British</u>		umb	ia				54	1623	24.	8N	49	236	6.1	E		DAI	LLL FU <i>N</i>	۰۸ ۱: _	<u>C</u>	ha	<u>-o</u> irt	.3011	
DA	ATE BC	DRED: <u>5/9/2020 to 5/9/2</u>	2020						w	ATE	r Li	EVE	L: <u></u>	EL. (	3.1	m	Μ	ea	n S	ec	a Le	eve	əl		
(m) H	(m) NOI	SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	PLES		OTHER TESTS /	UNI LA PC	drai Bor Dcke	NEC ATC ET PE	D SH DRY EN. KPa	EAR TEST	STRI		F F P	IELE OC	(kP ) VA :KET 150	'a) ANE SHE kPa	tes Ar	T VAI 2(	VE NE	♦ □ Pa	kfill/ dr well/ meter	lion (m)
DEPT	ELEVAT	(USCS)	STRATA	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY (r	N-VALUE or RQD %	REMARKS	W. SP	ATER [ (N-v	CC	DNTE ) BL	ENT &	& AT	TER	BER	GL	IMIT	TS .	W <sub>P</sub>	w -0	-+ 	/ L	BAC	ELEVA
- 0 -	-6.3	Veryloose dark grey non-plastic SILT				-				10	2	0	30	ter Cor	40	%) and	d Blow	Coun 6		70	)	80			Ļ
		(ML) with organics and peat																							Ē
- 1 -								Split spaan pepetrates																-	E -/
				SS	1	150	0	soil by weight of rods	•																Ē
- 2 -				SS	2	100	0	Split spoon penetrates soil by weight of rods								<u>.</u>								-	8 -
- 3 -				SS	3	0	2		•		<u>.</u>					· · ·					<u>.</u>			-	9 
																									Ē 10
- 4 -																					<u> </u>	: :		+	
	-10.9							SS 05: duplicate sample																	E -11
- 5 -		(SP) - traces of shell fragments		SS	4	600	11	of SS-04 for blind chemical testing		•											:::: ::::			-	Ē
		- traces of silt to silty			5																				E - 12
- 6 -																								-	
				SS	6	600	13																		E 13
- 7 -											<u> </u>					<u></u>					<u> </u>			+	Ē
																									-14
- 8 -		- with silt below 7.9 m		ss	7	350	13	Grain Size Analysis: G S Fines 4% 91% 5%								<u></u>					<u> </u>			-	Ē
																									-15
- 9 -		- traces of wood fragments at 9.1 m		22		205	12	Percent Passing #200:																1	Ē
				33	0	323	12																		-16
- 10 -		Compact grey poorly graded SAND (SP)																						1	Ē
		- traces of shell fragments - traces of silt to silty				075	1.5	Percent Passing #200:																	17
	-17.6	- silty at 10.7 m End of borebole BH20-03 at 11.3 m		55	9	3/5	15	16%																<u> </u>	Ē
		below existing mudline																							18 E
																									19 -
																									Ē
- 14 -																					<u> </u>			-	E -20
																									Ē
											::														E <sup>-21</sup>
					l	احما		Drilling Co	ntrac	tor:	Mu Id F	id B	ay arv	Drill	ing	/(	Cor	neTe	ec		+	Log	gge view		<u>G/MY</u> вн
BAC			SAI	ND		SLO		Completic	n De	pth:	1	1.3	m									Pa	ge	1 of 1	

Printed Jun 19 2020 10:34:11 STANTEC GEO 2016 LOGS\_115619249.GPJ MASTER1.GDT 6/19/20

SEASPAN OUTFITTING PIER – PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT

Appendix C

## Appendix C CONE PENETRATION TESTING PLOTS



# Cone Penetration Test Summary and Standard Cone Penetration Test Plots





Project:

End Date:

20-02-20794 Stantec Consulting Ltd. Seaspan Vancouver Shipyard 05-May-2020 Start Date: 09-May-2020

		СС	DNE PENETRATIO	ON TEST SU	JMMARY				
Sounding ID	File Name	Date	Cone	Assumed Phreatic Surface <sup>1</sup> (m)	Final Depth <sup>2</sup> (m)	Northing <sup>3</sup> (m)	Easting <sup>3</sup> (m)	Mudline Elevation <sup>4</sup> (m)	Refer to Notation Number
SCPT20-01	20-02-20794_SP01	05-May-2020	622:T1500F15U500	-6.6	27.300	5462013.38	492343.41	-7.61	5
CPT20-02	20-02-20794_CP02	07-May-2020	622:T1500F15U500	-10.0	32.450	5462126.54	492346.62	-8.36	
SCPT20-03	20-02-20794_SP03	08-May-2020	622:T1500F15U500	-9.5	36.200	5462267.40	492349.26	-10.11	6
CPT20-04	20-02-20794_CP04	08-May-2020	622:T1500F15U500	-10.1	36.400	5462326.52	492346.56	-8.95	
SCPT20-05	20-02-20794_SP05	09-May-2020	622:T1500F15U500	-7.1	34.150	5462322.41	492457.33	-6.10	7

1. The assumed phreatic surface was based on pore pressure dissipation tests, unless otherwise noted. Hydrostatic conditions were assumed for the calculated parameters.

2. The penetration depths are referenced to the existing mudline at the time of testing.

3. Coordinates were collected using a Can-Net survey in WGS1984/ UTM Zone 10 North. Geoid: HT2\_0.

4. Mudline elevation was derived from the deck elevation collected using a Can-Net survey (Geoid: HT2\_0).

5. No data presented from 2.850m-2.925m due to equipment issues.

6. No data presented from 5.675m-5.750m due to equipment issues.

7. No data presented from 16.425m-16.600m due to equipment issues.








Dissipation, Ueq not achieved

Hydrostatic Line



Seismic Cone Penetration Test Plots









Seismic Cone Penetration Test Tabular Results





Job No: 20-02-20794 Client: Stantec Consulting Ltd. Project: Seaspan Vancouver Shipyard Sounding ID: SCPT20-01 Date: 05-May-2020 Seismic Source:

Seismic Offset (m): Source Depth (m): Geophone Offset (m): 0.20

WaterSeis 5.00 0.00

	SCPTu SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY TEST RESULTS - Vs							
Tip Depth (m)	Geophone Depth (m)	Ray Path (m)	Ray Path Difference (m)	Travel Time Interval (ms)	Interval Velocity (m/s)			
2.43	2.23	5.47						
4.15	3.95	6.37	0.90	9.98	90			
6.20	6.00	7.81	1.44	12.06	119			
7.22	7.02	8.62	0.81	5.71	142			
8.22	8.02	9.45	0.83	5.32	156			
9.25	9.05	10.34	0.89	4.94	180			
10.25	10.05	11.23	0.89	4.87	182			
11.25	11.05	12.13	0.90	4.87	186			
12.18	11.98	12.98	0.85	4.52	189			
13.20	13.00	13.93	0.95	4.94	191			
14.22	14.02	14.89	0.96	4.96	193			
15.32	15.12	15.93	1.04	5.29	197			
17.13	16.93	17.65	1.73	8.83	196			
18.13	17.93	18.61	0.96	4.87	197			
19.07	18.87	19.52	0.91	4.75	191			
20.07	19.87	20.49	0.97	4.89	198			
21.05	20.85	21.44	0.95	4.98	191			
22.05	21.85	22.42	0.97	5.23	186			
22.98	22.78	23.32	0.91	4.68	194			
24.00	23.80	24.32	1.00	4.68	213			
24.98	24.78	25.28	0.96	4.55	211			
26.02	25.82	26.30	1.02	4.62	221			
26.85	26.65	27.12	0.82	3.69	221			
27.35	27.15	27.61	0.49	2.11	233			



Job No:20-02-20794Client:Stantec Consulting Ltd.Project:Seaspan Vancouver ShipyardSounding ID:SCPT20-03Date:08-May-2020Seismic Source:WaterSeis

Seismic Offset (m):5.00Source Depth (m):0.00Geophone Offset (m):0.20

	SCPTu SHE	AR WAVE VELC	OCITY TEST RES	ULTS - Vs	
Tip Depth (m)	Geophone Depth (m)	Ray Path (m)	Ray Path Difference (m)	Travel Time Interval (ms)	Interval Velocity (m/s)
4.63	4.43	6.68			
5.78	5.58	7.49	0.81	5.64	144
6.85	6.65	8.32	0.83	5.54	150
7.85	7.65	9.14	0.82	5.14	159
8.88	8.68	10.02	0.88	4.93	178
9.90	9.70	10.91	0.90	4.88	184
10.97	10.77	11.87	0.96	5.03	191
12.03	11.83	12.84	0.97	4.92	197
13.05	12.85	13.79	0.95	4.73	200
14.05	13.85	14.73	0.94	4.62	203
15.15	14.95	15.76	1.04	5.04	206
16.18	15.98	16.74	0.98	4.72	208
17.20	17.00	17.72	0.98	4.64	210
18.38	18.18	18.86	1.14	5.22	217
19.40	19.20	19.84	0.99	4.49	219
20.48	20.28	20.89	1.05	4.74	221
21.50	21.30	21.88	0.99	4.47	222
22.57	22.37	22.92	1.04	4.81	217
23.68	23.48	24.01	1.08	4.84	224
24.38	24.18	24.69	0.69	2.81	244
25.32	25.12	25.61	0.92	3.71	249
26.40	26.20	26.67	1.06	3.57	297
27.35	27.15	27.61	0.93	3.11	301
28.45	28.25	28.69	1.08	3.56	304
29.50	29.30	29.72	1.04	3.55	292
30.55	30.35	30.76	1.04	4.53	228
31.57	31.37	31.77	1.01	4.53	222
32.65	32.45	32.83	1.07	4.76	224
34.60	34.40	34.76	1.93	8.33	232
35.40	35.20	35.55	0.79	3.09	256



Job No:20-02-20794Client:Stantec Consulting Ltd.Project:Seaspan Vancouver ShipyardSounding ID:SCPT20-05Date:09-May-2020Seismic Source:WaterSeis

Seismic Offset (m):5.00Source Depth (m):0.00Geophone Offset (m):0.20

SCPTu SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY TEST RESULTS - Vs							
Tip Depth (m)	Geophone Depth (m)	Ray Path (m)	Ray Path Difference (m)	Travel Time Interval (ms)	Interval Velocity (m/s)		
4.00	3.80	6.28					
5.05	4.85	6.97	0.69	6.00	114		
6.03	5.83	7.68	0.71	5.83	123		
8.20	8.00	9.43	1.75	12.16	144		
11.30	11.10	12.17	0.98	5.19	188		
12.32	12.12	13.11	0.94	4.57	205		
13.32	13.12	14.04	0.93	4.60	202		
14.35	14.15	15.01	0.97	4.78	202		
15.38	15.18	15.98	0.98	5.00	195		
16.40	16.20	16.95	0.97	5.22	186		
17.30	17.10	17.82	0.86	4.78	180		
18.40	18.20	18.87	1.06	5.83	182		
19.48	19.28	19.92	1.04	5.63	185		
20.50	20.30	20.91	0.99	5.31	186		
21.63	21.43	22.01	1.10	5.95	185		
22.65	22.45	23.00	0.99	4.89	204		
23.75	23.55	24.08	1.08	4.65	231		
24.80	24.60	25.10	1.03	4.34	237		
25.85	25.65	26.13	1.03	4.34	237		
26.88	26.68	27.14	1.01	4.28	236		
27.90	27.70	28.15	1.00	4.25	236		
28.98	28.78	29.21	1.06	4.49	237		
30.02	29.82	30.24	1.03	4.80	214		
31.07	30.87	31.27	1.04	4.91	211		
32.10	31.90	32.29	1.02	4.75	214		
34.15	33.95	34.32	2.03	9.43	215		

Seismic Cone Penetration Test Wave Traces









Appendix D

# Appendix D LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS





### Moisture Content of Soil or Aggregate CSA A23.2-11A ASTM D2216

OFFICE 4730 Kingsway Suite 500 Burnaby, BC Canada V5H 0C6 Tel: (604) 436-3014

#### LABORATORY

3711 North Fraser Way Suite 400 Burnaby, BC Canada V5J 5J2 Tel: (604) 436-3014

Client:	Seaspan ULC			Date Tested:		12-May-20	
Project: Vancouve	er Shipyard Ou	utfitting Pier	-	-			
· · · · ·			-	lested By:		WdC / HQ	
Project No.: II	5619249.200.3	300					
		Moistur	e Content Wor	ksheet			
Borehole / Test Pit No.	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-02	BH20-02	BH20-02
Sample	SS-19	SS-21	SS-24	SS-25	SS-15	SS-17	SS-18
lare No.	101.0	000 5		0.40.0		500	000.5
Mass Tare Container	191.3	220.5	92.3	348.8	194.6	500	330.5
Mass Sample (Wet+lare) (g)	609	1042.3	459.2	898.9	9/1.5	1648	911.9
Mass Sample (Dry+Tare) (g)	519.1	916.3	384.4	807.1	812.3	1428.5	788.6
Mass of Water (g)	89.90	126.00	74.80	91.80	159.20	219.50	123.30
Mass Dry Sample (g)	327.80	695.80	292.10	458.30	617.70	928.50	458.10
Moisture Content (%)	27.4%	18.1%	25.6%	20.0%	25.8%	23.6%	<b>26.9</b> %
Depth	42.37 m -	48.46 m -	58.77 m -	61.57 m -	30.25 m -	36.50 m -	39.67 m -
	42.97 m	49.07 m	58.98 m	62.00 m	30.86 m	37.11 m	39.98 m
Borehole / Test Pit No.	BH20-02	BH20-02	BH20-02	BH20-02			
	SS-19	SS-21A	SS-23	SS-24			
Idre No.	0.4	0.4	111	400.7			
Mass rare Container	2.0	2.0		482./			
Mass sample (wei+iare) (g)	2/0.6	193.8	216.5	927.5			
Mass sample (Dry+Tare) (g)	218.844	159.114	193.9	884.1			
Mass of water (g)	51./6	34.69	22.60	43.40		ļ!	ļ!
Mass Dry Sample (g)	216.24	156.51	182.80	401.40		ļ!	ļ!
Moisture Content (%)	23.9%	22.2%	12.4%	10.8%			
Depth	42.75 m - 43.36 m	48.82 m - 49.43 m	54.66 m - 54.91 m	56.44 m - 56.67 m			
Borehole / Test Pit No.							
Sample							
Tare No.							
Mass Tare Container							
Mass Sample (Wet+Tare) (g)							
Mass Sample (Dry+Tare) (g)							
Mass of Water (g)							
Mass Dry Sample (g)							
Moisture Content (%)							
Depth							
Borehole / Test Pit No.							
Sample							
Tare No.							
Mass Tare Container							
Mass Sample (Wet+Tare) (g)							
Mass Sample (Dry+Tare) (g)							
Mass of Water (g)							
Mass Dry Sample (g)			1			1	
Moisture Content (%)			1	1		†	
Depth							

## Reviewed By:

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing service only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of the test results is provided only on written request. The data presented above is for the sole use of the client stipulated above. Stantec is not responsible, nor can be held liable, for the use of this report by any other party, with or without the knowledge of Stantec.



### Passing #200 Fines Content Determination ASTM D1140

OFFICE

4730 Kingsway Suite 500 Burnaby, BC Canada V5H 0C6 Tel: (604) 436-3014

#### LABORATORY

3711 N. Fraser Way Burnaby, BC Canada V5J 5J2 Tel: (604) 436-3014

Project: Vanc	couver Shipyard Outfitt	ing Pier	Date Tested:	5/13-1	4/2020
Client:	Seaspan ULC		Tested By:	HQ/	WdC
Project No.:	115619249.200.300		Reviewed By:		
		Fines Content Wor	ksheet		
Borehole/Test Pit No.	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01
Sample	SS-07 9.14 m - 9.75 m	SS-09 12.70 m - 13.31 m	SS-13 24.18 m - 24.79 m	SS-15A 30.78 m -30.94 m	SS-17 36.57 m -37.18 m
Tare No.					
Mass Tare Container (g)	854.1	851.2	851	854.2	856.4
Mass Sample+Tare Before Wash (g)	1401.4	1240.9	1249.3	1103.7	1216.8
Mass Sample+Tare After Wash (g)	1333.1	1197.2	1138.8	1088.0	918.8
Percent Passing	12.5%	11.2%	27.7%	6.3%	82.7%
Borehole/Test Pit No.	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-01	BH20-02
Sample	SS-19 42.37 m -42.97 m	SS-21 48.46 m -49.07 m	SS-24 58.77 m - 58.98 m	SS-25 61.57 m - 62.00 m	SS-09 12.09 m - 12.70 m
Tare No.	0				
Mass Tare Container (g)	846.8	847.1	847	854	854.6
Mass Sample+Tare Before Wash (g)	1174.6	1542.9	1139.1	1312.3	1278.4
Mass Sample+Tare After Wash (g)	877.6	1161.3	888.1	971	1251.6
Percent Passing	90.6%	54.8%	85.9%	74.5%	6.3%
Borehole/Test Pit No.	BH20-02	BH20-02	BH20-02	BH20-02	BH20-02
Sample	SS-11B 18.59 m - 18.90 m	SS-15 30.25 m - 30.86 m	SS-17 36.50 m - 37.11 m	SS-18 39.67 m - 39.98 m	SS-24 56.44 m - 56.67 m
Tare No.					
Mass Tare Container (g)	851	854.2	856.6	846.8	854
Mass Sample+Tare Before Wash (g)	1226.6	1471.9	1785.1	1304.9	1255.5
Mass Sample+Tare After Wash (g)	1117.4	965	1100.3	884.4	1103.3
Percent Passing					
	29.1%	82.1%	73.8%	91.8%	37.9%
Borehole/Test Pit No.	BH20-03	BH20-03			
Sample	SS-08 9 14 m - 9 75 m	SS-09 10 67 m - 11 28 m			
Tare No.	5.1411 5.7511	10.07 11 11.20 11			
Mass Tare Container (g)	851.6	851			
Mass Sample+Tare Before Wash (g)	1364	1230.9			
Mass Sample+Tare After Wash (g)	1332.4	1172.1			
Percent Passing					
_	6.2%	15.5%			



Reviewed By:

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing service only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of the test results is provided only on written request. The data presented above is for the sole use of the client stipulated above. STANTEC is not responsible, nor can be held liable, for the use of this report by any other party, with or without the knowledge of STANTEC.

		Client: Seaspan ULC		OFFICE	LABORATORY
Stantec	Grain Size	Project Name: Vancouver Ship	oyard Outfitting Pier	4730 Kingsway	3711 North Fraser Way
	Analysis			Suite 500	Suite 400
	ASTM C136, ASTM C117	Project No: 115619249.200.	300	Burnaby, BC	Burnaby, BC
				Canada V5H 0C6	Canada V5J 5J2
				Tel: (604) 436-3014	Tel: (604) 436-3014

SAMPLE No.: BH20-01, SS-06, 7.62m-8.23m SOURCE: -TESTED BY: Wil de Castro

DATE RECEIVED: May 11, 2020 DATE TESTED: May 13, 2020 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: silty SAND, SM

						Sieve	Sample	Specific	ations
10	0.0	-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	······································			(mm)	% Passing	Lower	Upper
				<u>-a</u>		150.0	-	-	-
9	0.0					125.0	-	-	-
						100.0	-	-	-
8	80.0					75.0	-	-	-
	20 0					50.0	-	-	-
/	0.0					37.5	-	-	-
<b>2</b> 6	60.0					25.0	-	-	-
assi						19.0	-	-	-
	i0.0 +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++					16.0	-	-	-
LC e						12.5	-	-	-
<b>e</b> 4	10.0					9.5	100.0	-	-
						4.75	99.9	-	-
	50.0					2.36	99.4	-	-
2	20.0					1.18	95.5	-	-
						0.600	88.2	-	-
1	0.0					0.300	78.1	-	-
						0.150	50.3	-	-
	1000.00 10	0.00	10.00	1.00	0.10	0.01	27.1	-	-
			Sieve Size (mn	n)		Cobble	: 0.0%	D <sub>10</sub> :	-
						Gravel	0.1%	D <sub>30</sub> :	0.0873
						Sand:	72.7%	D <sub>60</sub> :	0.2101
		— <b>o</b> — % Pas	ssing <del>-                                   </del>	Limit — <u>A</u> – L	ower Limit	Fines:	27.2%	C <sub>u</sub> :	-
								C <sub>c</sub> :	-
Comments: t	omments: traces of wood and shell fragments								

Reviewed by:

		Client: Seaspan ULC	OFFICE	LABORATORY
Stantec	Grain Size	Project Name: Vancouver Shipyard Outfitting Pier	4730 Kingsway	3711 North Fraser Way
	Analysis		Suite 500	Suite 400
	ASTM C136, ASTM C117	Project No: 115619249.200.300	Burnaby, BC	Burnaby, BC
			Canada V5H 0C6	Canada V5J 5J2
			Tel: (604) 436-3014	Tel: (604) 436-3014

SAMPLE No.: BH20-01, SS-11, 18.17m-18.74m SOURCE: -TESTED BY: Wil de Castro

DATE RECEIVED: May 11, 2020 DATE TESTED: May 13, 2020 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: silty SAND, SM



Reviewed by:

		Client: Seaspan ULC	OFFICE	LABORATORY			
Stantec	Grain Size	Project Name: Vancouver Shipyard Outfitting Pier	4730 Kingsway	3711 North Fraser Way			
	Analysis		Suite 500	Suite 400			
	ASTM C136, ASTM C117	Project No: 115619249.200.300	Burnaby, BC	Burnaby, BC			
			Canada V5H 0C6	Canada V5J 5J2			
			Tel: (604) 436-3014	Tel: (604) 436-3014			

SAMPLE No.: BH20-01, SS-15B, 30.94m-31.39m SOURCE: -TESTED BY: Wil de Castro

DATE RECEIVED: May 11, 2020 DATE TESTED: May 13, 2020 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: silty SAND, SM

						Sieve	Sample	Specific	cations
100.0		-0-00-0-0		<u> </u>		(mm)	% Passing	Lower	Upper
						150.0	-	-	-
90.0						125.0	-	-	-
						100.0	-	-	-
80.0						75.0	-	-	-
70.0						50.0	-	-	-
/0.0						37.5	-	-	-
<b>.</b> 60.0				<b>\</b>		25.0	-	-	-
se						19.0	-	-	-
50.0 <b>1</b>						16.0	-	-	-
						12.5	100.0	-	-
<b>a</b> 40.0						9.5	99.5	-	-
30.0						4.75	99.2	-	-
						2.36	99.1	-	-
20.0						1.18	98.9	-	-
						0.600	98.8	-	-
10.0						0.300	98.4	-	-
						0.150	87.9	-	-
1000.00	100.00	10.00	1.00	0.10	0.01	0.075	40.4	-	-
		Sieve Size (r	nm)						
			,			Cobble:	: 0.0%	D <sub>10</sub> :	-
						Gravel:	0.8%	D <sub>30</sub> :	-
		" Passing Upp	erlimit — Al	owerlimit		Sand:	58.8%	D <sub>60</sub> :	0.1132
						Fines:	40.4%	C <sub>u</sub> :	-
								C <sub>c</sub> :	-
Comments:						Poviews			
						Keviewe	ea by:		

		Client:	Seaspan ULC	OFFICE	LABORATORY
Stantec	Grain Size	Project Name:	Vancouver Shipyard Outfitting Pier	4730 Kingsway	3711 North Fraser Way
	Analysis			Suite 500	Suite 400
	ASTM C136, ASTM C117	Project No:	115619249.200.300	Burnaby, BC	Burnaby, BC
				Canada V5H 0C6	Canada V5J 5J2
				Tel: (604) 436-3014	Tel: (604) 436-3014

SAMPLE No.: BH20-02, SS-07, 7.62m-8.23m SOURCE: -TESTED BY: HQ/WdC DATE RECEIVED: May 11, 2020 DATE TESTED: May 13, 2020 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: silty SAND, SM



Reviewed by:

7		Client:	Seaspan ULC	OFFICE	LABORATORY
Stantec	Grain Size	Project Name:	Vancouver Shipyard Outfitting Pier	4730 Kingsway	3711 North Fraser Way
Analysis	_		Suite 500	Suite 400	
	ASTM C136, ASTM C117	Project No:	115619249.200.300	Burnaby, BC	Burnaby, BC
		-		Canada V5H 0C6	Canada V5J 5J2
				Tel: (604) 436-3014	Tel: (604) 436-3014

SAMPLE No.: BH20-02, SS-13, 24.38m-24.99m SOURCE: -TESTED BY: HQ/WdC

DATE RECEIVED: May 11, 2020 DATE TESTED: May 13, 2020 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: silty SAND, SM



Reviewed by:



SAMPLE No.:BH20-02, SS-22, 51.51m-51.97mDATE RECEIVED:May 11, 2020SOURCE:-DATE TESTED:May 13, 2020TESTED BY:HQ/WdCSAMPLE DESCRIPTION:silty GRAVEL with sand, GM





SAMPLE No.: BH20-03, SS-07, 7.92m-8.53m SOURCE: -TESTED BY: HQ/WdC DATE RECEIVED: May 11, 2020 DATE TESTED: May 13, 2020 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: poorly graded SAND with silt, SP-SM

	Sieve Sample Specifications			
	(mm)	% Passing	Lower	Upper
	150.0	-	-	-
90.0	125.0	-	-	-
	100.0	-	-	-
	75.0	-	-	-
	50.0	-	-	-
	37.5	-	-	-
	25.0	-	-	-
	19.0	100.0	-	-
	16.0	97.6	-	-
	12.5	-	-	-
	9.5	97.2	-	-
30.0	4.75	96.4	-	-
	2.36	95.0	-	-
	1.18	88.7	-	-
	0.600	64.8	-	-
	0.300	29.9	-	-
	0.150	11.3	-	-
1000.00 100.00 10.00 1.00 0.10 0.01	0.075	5.3	-	-
Sieve Size (mm)	Cabblai	0.07		0 1 2 9 2
	Cravel	0.0%	D <sub>10</sub> .	0.1002
	Giuvei.	01.107	D <sub>30</sub> .	0.3010
	Sana:	71.1%	D <sub>60</sub> :	0.5/02
	rines:	5.3%	C <sub>u</sub> :	4.1246
			C <sub>c</sub> :	1.1555
	Poviowa	d by:		